

# Simulating Codata Types using Coalgebras

Anton Setzer  
Swansea University, Swansea UK  
Types 2018, Braga, Portugal

18 June 2018

Coalgebras in Type Theory

Musical Notation In Agda Reduced to Coalgebras

Suggested Extension

Conclusion

## Coalgebras in Type Theory

Musical Notation In Agda Reduced to Coalgebras

Suggested Extension

Conclusion

# Codata Types

- ▶ Original way of defining infinite (in general non-well-founded) structures in functional programming are codata types:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codata } \text{coList} &: \text{Set where} \\ \text{nil} &: \text{coList} \\ \text{cons} &: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{coList} \rightarrow \text{coList} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{from} &: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{coList} \\ \text{from } n &= \text{cons } n (\text{from } (n + 1)) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Problem

- ▶ Literally taken **non-normalising**.
- ▶ Restriction of evaluation to lazy evaluation or similar led to **subject reduction problem** in Coq and early versions of Agda.
- ▶ Proof in [4] that there is **no decidable equality** on codata types **which would allow pattern matching**.

# Coalgebras

- ▶ Solution define infinite structures by elimination rules or by their observations.
- ▶ Replace Pattern matching by copattern matching [2].
- ▶ Example Streams (syntax is desired syntax – Agda uses record types instead):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{coalg Stream} &: \text{Set where} \\ \text{head} &: \text{Stream} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ \text{tail} &: \text{Stream} \rightarrow \text{Stream} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Define the stream  $n, n + 1, n + 2, \dots$  by copattern matching

$$\begin{aligned} \text{from} &: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream} \\ \text{head} \quad (\text{from } n) &= n \\ \text{tail} \quad (\text{from } n) &= \text{from } (n + 1) \end{aligned}$$

# Colists

- ▶ When applying the above to colists one need to have an observation which determines for every colist whether it is `nil` or `cons`.
- ▶ Most easily done by using a simultaneous inductive-coinductive definition.

mutual

`coalg ∞coList : Set` where  
`b : ∞coList → coList`

`data coList : Set`where

`nil : coList`

`cons : ℕ → ∞coList → coList`

Coalgebras in Type Theory

Musical Notation In Agda Reduced to Coalgebras

Suggested Extension

Conclusion

# Examples of Coalgebras in Agda

- ▶ We have developed lots of coalgebras in Agda:
  - ▶ IO monad in Agda.
  - ▶ Formalisation of CSP in Agda.
  - ▶ Objects (as in object-based programming) in Agda
  - ▶ GUIs in Agda.
  - ▶ Business processes in Agda
  - ▶ Many variants of the above
- ▶ In some examples definition by several eliminators is the right thing.
- ▶ In some example one has the pattern as above but needs to add to the type corresponding to  $\infty\text{coList}$  extra components.
- ▶ But most examples follow exactly the **same pattern** as above.
- ▶ **Musical notation** modified by Danielsson [5] was an abbreviation mechanism in Agda for having the above. (Currently abandoned).
- ▶ Suggestion: Reduce **musical notation** to coalgebras.
- ▶ Musical notation as a **syntactic sugar** for coalgebra approach.



# Functions for Introduction Codata like Coalgebras

- ▶ When defining functions into a codata like coalgebra one defines mutually two functions:

$$\#f : A \rightarrow \infty\text{coList}$$

$$\flat (\#f a) = f a$$

$$f : A \rightarrow \text{coList}$$

$$f a = \dots \text{ (referring to } \#f)$$

- ▶ Example from musical notation documentation in Agda:

$$\#map : (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \text{coList} \rightarrow \infty\text{coList}$$

$$\flat (\#map f l) = \text{map } f l$$

$$\text{map} : (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \text{coList} \rightarrow \text{coList}$$

$$\text{map } f \text{ nil} = \text{nil}$$

$$\text{map } f (\text{cons } n l) = \text{cons } (f n) (\#map f l)$$

Coalgebras in Type Theory

Musical Notation In Agda Reduced to Coalgebras

Suggested Extension

Conclusion

$\infty$  as Syntactic Sugar

- ▶ Whenever one defines a new constant

$$C : (x_1 : A_1) (x_2 : A_2) \cdots (x_n : A_n) \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

one defines simultaneously with any definition involving  $C$

$$\text{coalg } \infty C (x_1 : A_1) (x_2 : A_2) \cdots (x_n : A_n) : \text{Set where}$$

$$b : \infty C x_1 \cdots x_n \rightarrow C x_1 \cdots x_n$$

- ▶ Whenever one defines a new constant

$$f : (x_1 : A_1) (x_2 : A_2) \cdots (x_n : A_n) \rightarrow C t$$

where  $C$  is a constant one defines

$$\#f : (x_1 : A_1) (x_2 : A_2) \cdots (x_n : A_n) \rightarrow \infty C t$$

$$b (\#f x_1 \cdots x_n) = f x_1 \cdots x_n$$

# $\infty$ as Syntactic Sugar

- ▶ Whether the following is a good notation needs to be discussed.
- ▶ However it allows to give an interpretation of Altenkirch et. al.'s boxed operator [3], where  $\sharp t$  is the type of delayed computations.
- ▶ If  $C s_1 \cdots s_n$  is an expression where  $C$  is a constant define

$$\infty (C s_1 \cdots s_n) := \infty C s_1 \cdots s_n$$

- ▶ If  $f s_1 \cdots s_n$  is an expression where  $f$  is a constant define

$$\sharp (f s_1 \cdots s_n) := \sharp f s_1 \cdots s_n$$

- ▶ In the above  $C s_1 \cdots s_n$  and  $f s_1 \cdots s_n$  are not evaluated, the right hand side is evaluated.
- ▶ Note that  $\infty x$  and  $\sharp x$  for variables  $x$  is not defined.

# Syntactic Sugar

- ▶ Note that the above is just syntactic sugar.
- ▶ Type checking and Term checking relies on type and termination checking of the desugared version.
- ▶ Should the above definitions not be suitable, the user has access to the standard coalgebra definitions.

## Example: coList, map and from

data coList : Setwhere

nil : coList

cons :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \infty \text{ coList} \rightarrow \text{coList}$

map :  $(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \text{coList} \rightarrow \text{coList}$

map  $f$  nil = nil

map  $f$  (cons  $n$   $l$ ) = cons  $(f\ n)$  ( $\#$  (map  $f$   $l$ ))

from :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{coList}$

from  $n$  = cons  $n$  ( $\#$  (from  $(n + 1)$ ))

# Sized Types

- ▶ For coalgebras one needs except for very simple examples sized types.
- ▶ In case of `coList` the definition is as follows:

mutual

`coalg ∞coList {i : Size} : Set` where

`b : {j : Size < i} → ∞coList {i} → coList {j}`

`data coList {i : Size} : Set` where

`nil : coList {i}`

`cons : ℕ → ∞coList {i} → coList {i}`

$\infty$ ,  $\#$  with Sizes

- ▶ Whenever one defines a new constant

$$C : \{i : \text{Size}\} (x_1 : A_1) (x_2 : A_2) \cdots (x_n : A_n) \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

one defines simultaneously with any definition involving  $C$

$$\text{coalg } \infty C \{i : \text{Size}\} (x_1 : A_1) (x_2 : A_2) \cdots (x_n : A_n) : \text{Set where}$$

$$b : \{j : \text{Size} < i\} \rightarrow \infty C \{i\} x_1 \cdots x_n \rightarrow C \{j\} x_1 \cdots x_n$$

- ▶ Whenever one defines a new constant

$$f : \{i : \text{Size}\} (x_1 : A_1) (x_2 : A_2) \cdots (x_n : A_n) \rightarrow C \ t$$

where  $C$  is a constant one defines

$$\#f : \{i : \text{Size}\} (x_1 : A_1) (x_2 : A_2) \cdots (x_n : A_n) \rightarrow \infty C \ t$$

$$b (\#f \{i\} x_1 \cdots x_n) \{j\} = f \{j\} x_1 \cdots x_n$$



# IO Interface

data Command : Set where  
 getStr : Command  
 putStr : String → Command

Response : Command → Set  
Response getStr = String  
Response (putStr s) = ⊤

## Example IO

```

data IO {i : Size} (A : Set) : Set where
  return  : A → IO {i} A
  exec    : (c : Command)
            (p : Response c → ∞ (IO {i} A))
            → IO {i} A

copycat : {i : Size} → IO {i} ⊥
copycat = exec getStr λ s →
  ‡ (exec (putStr s) λ _ →
    ‡ copycat)

```

# Object Interface for Cell

data Method : Set where  
  get : Method  
  put :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow$  Method

Result : Method  $\rightarrow$  Set  
Result get =  $\mathbb{N}$   
Result (put  $n$ ) =  $\top$

# Example Object [1]

Object :  $\{i : \text{Size}\} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

Object  $\{i\} = (m : \text{Method}) \rightarrow \text{IO} \infty (\text{Result } m \times \# (\text{Object}\{i\}))$

cell :  $\{i : \text{Size}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Object } \{i\}$

cell  $n$  get = exec (putStr "get invoked")  $\lambda \_ \rightarrow$   
 $\# (\text{return } (n, \# (\text{cell } n)))$

cell  $n$  (put  $m$ ) = exec (putStr "put invoked")  $\lambda \_ \rightarrow$   
 $\# (\text{return } (\_, \# (\text{cell } m)))$

Coalgebras in Type Theory

Musical Notation In Agda Reduced to Coalgebras

Suggested Extension

Conclusion

# Conclusion

- ▶ Definition of coalgebras by their elimination as a clean approach for defining “infinite data types” (more precisely well-founded).
- ▶ Musical notation as syntactic sugar which reduces to coalgebras.
- ▶ Delayed computations can be interpreted in this setting.
- ▶ Resulting code is very close to codata types.

# Bibliography I



A. Abel, S. Adelsberger, and A. Setzer.

Interactive programming in Agda – Objects and graphical user interfaces.

Journal of Functional Programming, 27, Jan 2017.

doi [10.1017/S0956796816000319](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0956796816000319).



A. Abel, B. Pientka, A. Setzer, and D. Thibodeau.

Copatterns: Programming infinite structures by observations.

In R. Jacobazzi and R. Cousot, editors, Proceedings of the 40th annual ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT symposium on Principles of programming languages, POPL '13, pages 27–38, New York, NY, USA, 2013. ACM.

# Bibliography II



T. Altenkirch, N. Danielsson, A. Löh, and N. Oury.

$\Pi\Sigma$ : Dependent types without the sugar.

In M. Blume, N. Kobayashi, and G. Vidal, editors, Functional and Logic Programming, volume 6009 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 40–55. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2010.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12251-4\\_5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12251-4_5).



U. Berger and A. Setzer.

Undecidability of equality for codata types, 2018.

To appear in proceedings of CMCS'18, available from

<http://www.cs.swan.ac.uk/~csetzer/articles/CMCS2018/bergerSetzerProceedingsCMCS18.pdf>.



# Bibliography III



N. A. Danielsson.

Changes to coinduction, 17 March 2009.

Message posted on `gmane.comp.lang.agda`, available from

<http://article.gmane.org/gmane.comp.lang.agda/763/>.