How to Reason Informally Coinductively

Anton Setzer

Swansea University

PCC, Oslo, 22 May 2015

With contributions from Peter Hancock, Thorsten Altenkirch, Andreas Abel, Brigitte Pientka and David Thibodeau.

Anton Setzer (Swansea)

How to Reason Informally Coinductively

1/ 29

Introduction/Elimination of Inductive/Coinductive Sets

▶ Introduction rules for Natural numbers means that we have

$$0 \in \mathbb{N}$$
 $S : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$

▶ Dually, coinductive sets are given by their elimination rules i.e. by observations.

As an example we consider Stream:

head : Stream $\rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

: Stream \rightarrow Stream

Goal

Inductive Definition Coinductive Definition Determined by Introduction ? Iteration Primitive Recursion Pattern matching Induction Induction-Hypothesis

¹Part of this table is due to Peter Hancock, see acknowledgements at the end.

Anton Setzer (Swansea)

How to Reason Informally Coinductively

2/ 29

Duality

| Inductive Definition | Coinductive Definition |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Determined by Introduction | Determined by Observation |
| Iteration | ? |
| Primitive Recursion | ? |
| Pattern matching | ? |
| Induction | ? |
| Induction-Hypothesis | ? |

Unique Iteration

- ▶ That $(\mathbb{N}, 0, S)$ are minimal can be given by:
 - ▶ Assume another \mathbb{N} -structure (X, z, s), i.e.

$$z \in X$$

 $s: X \to X$

► Then there exist a unique homomorphism $g:(\mathbb{N},0,\mathrm{S})\to(X,z,s)$:

$$g: \mathbb{N} \to X$$

 $g(0) = z$
 $g(S(n)) = s(g(n))$

► This means we can define uniquely

$$g: \mathbb{N} \to X$$

 $g(0) = x$ for some $x \in X$
 $g(S(n)) = x'$ for some $x' \in X$ depending on $g(n)$

Anton Setzer (Swansea)

How to Reason Informally Coinductively

5/ 29

Duality

| Inductive Definition | Coinductive Definition |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Determined by Introduction | Determined by Observation |
| Iteration | Coiteration |
| Primitive Recursion | ? |
| Pattern matching | ? |
| Induction | ? |
| Induction-Hypothesis | ? |

Unique Coiteration

- ▶ Dually, that (Stream, head, tail) is maximal can be given by:
 - ▶ Assume another Stream-structure (X, h, t):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h & : & X \to \mathbb{N} \\ t & : & X \to X \end{array}$$

► Then there exist a

unique homomorphism
$$g:(X,h,t)\to ({\rm Stream},{\rm head},{\rm tail})$$
:

$$g: X \to \text{Stream}$$

 $\text{head}(g(x)) = h(x)$
 $\text{tail}(g(x)) = g(t(x))$

► Means we can define uniquely

$$g: X \to \text{Stream}$$

 $\text{head}(g(x)) = n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on x
 $\text{tail}(g(x)) = g(x')$ for some $x' \in X$ depending on x

Anton Setzer (Swansea)

How to Reason Informally Coinductively

6/ 29

Unique Primitive Recursion

- ► From unique iteration we can derive principle of unique primitive recursion
 - ► We can define uniquely

$$g: \mathbb{N} \to X$$

 $g(0) = x$ for some $x \in X$
 $g(S(n)) = x'$ for some $x' \in X$ depending on $n, g(n)$

► Primitive pattern matching.

Anton Setzer (Swansea)

Unique Primitive Corecursion

- ▶ From unique coiteration we can derive principle of unique primitive corecursion
 - ► We can define uniquely

```
g: X \to \text{Stream}
head(g(x)) = n for some n \in \mathbb{N} depending on x
tail(g(x))) = g(x') for some x' \in X depending on x
              = s for some s \in \text{Stream} depending on x
```

- **Note:** No application of a function to g(x') allowed.
- ► Primitive copattern matching.

Anton Setzer (Swansea)

How to Reason Informally Coinductively

9/ 29

Duality

| Inductive Definition | Coinductive Definition |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Determined by Introduction | Determined by Observation |
| Iteration | Coiteration |
| Primitive Recursion | Primitive Corecursion |
| Pattern matching | Copattern matching |
| Induction | ? |
| Induction-Hypothesis | ? |

Example

$$s \in \text{Stream}$$

 $\text{head}(s) = 0$
 $\text{tail}(s) = s$
 $s' : \mathbb{N} \to \text{Stream}$
 $\text{head}(s'(n)) = 0$
 $\text{tail}(s'(n)) = s'(n+1)$
 $\text{cons} : (\mathbb{N} \times \text{Stream}) \to \text{Stream}$
 $\text{head}(\text{cons}(n, s)) = n$
 $\text{tail}(\text{cons}(n, s)) = s$

Anton Setzer (Swansea)

How to Reason Informally Coinductively

10/ 29

Induction

► From unique iteration one can derive principle of **induction**:

We can prove
$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}.\varphi(n)$$
 by proving $\varphi(0)$
 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}.\varphi(n) \to \varphi(S(n))$

▶ Using induction we can prove (assuming extensionality of functions) uniqueness of iteration and primitive recursion.

Equivalence

Theorem

Let $(\mathbb{N}, 0, S)$ be an \mathbb{N} -algebra. The following is equivalent

- 1. The principle of unique iteration.
- 2. The principle of unique primitive recursion.
- 3. The principle of iteration + induction.
- 4. The principle of primitive recursion + induction.

Anton Setzer (Swansea)

How to Reason Informally Coinductively

13/ 29

Coinduction

- Combining
 - ► bisimulation implies equality
 - ▶ bisimulation can be shown corecursively

we obtain the following principle of coinduction

Coinduction

- ► Uniqueness in coiteration is equivalent to the principle: **Bisimulation implies equality**
- ▶ Bisimulation on Stream is the largest relation \sim on Stream s.t.

$$s \sim s'
ightarrow \mathrm{head}(s) = \mathrm{head}(s') \wedge \mathrm{tail}(s) \sim \mathrm{tail}(s')$$

- lacktriangle Largest can be expressed as \sim being an indexed coinductively defined set.
- ▶ Primitive corecursion over ~ means:

We can prove

$$\forall s, s'. X(s, s') \rightarrow s \sim s'$$

by showing

$$egin{array}{lll} X(s,s') &
ightarrow & \mathrm{head}(s) = \mathrm{head}(s') \ X(s,s') &
ightarrow & X(\mathrm{tail}(s),\mathrm{tail}(s')) \lor \mathrm{tail}(s) \sim \mathrm{tail}(s') \end{array}$$

Anton Setzer (Swansea)

How to Reason Informally Coinductively

14/ 29

Schema of Coinduction

▶ We can prove

$$\forall s, s'. X(s, s') \rightarrow s = s'$$

by showing

$$\forall s, s'. X(s, s') \rightarrow \operatorname{head}(s) = \operatorname{head}(s')$$

 $\forall s, s'. X(s, s') \rightarrow \operatorname{tail}(s) = \operatorname{tail}(s')$

where tail(s) = tail(s') can be derived

- directly or
- ▶ from a proof of

$$X(\operatorname{tail}(s), \operatorname{tail}(s'))$$

invoking the co-induction-hypothesis

$$X(\operatorname{tail}(s), \operatorname{tail}(s')) \to \operatorname{tail}(s) = \operatorname{tail}(s')$$

▶ Note: Only direct use of co-IH allowed.

Indexed Coinduction

▶ For using coinduction, one typically wants to show for some $f, g: X \to \text{Stream}$

$$\forall x \in X. f(x) = g(x)$$

▶ Using $X(s, s') = \{x \mid f(x) = s \land g(x) = s'\}$ we obtain the principle of indexed coinduction

Anton Setzer (Swansea)

How to Reason Informally Coinductively

17/29

Equivalence

Theorem

Let (Stream, head, tail) be a Stream-coalgebra. The following is equivalent

- 1. The principle of unique coiteration.
- 2. The principle of unique primitive corecursion.
- 3. The principle of coiteration + coinduction
- 4. The principle of primitive corecursion + coinduction
- 5. The principle of coiteration + indexed coinduction.
- 6. The principle of primitive corecursion + indexed coinduction.

Schema Indexed Coinduction

► We can prove

$$\forall x \in X. f(x) = g(x)$$

by showing

$$\forall x \in X.\text{head}(f(x)) = \text{head}(g(x))$$

 $\forall x \in X.\text{tail}(f(x)) = \text{tail}(g(x))$

where tail(f(x)) = tail(g(x)) can be derived

- ▶ directly or
- ▶ by

$$tail(f(x)) = f(x')$$
 $tail(g(x)) = g(x')$

and using the co-induction-hypothesis

$$f(x') = g(x')$$

- Again only direct use of co-IH allowed (otherwise you can derive tail(f(x)) = tail(g(x)) from f(x) = g(x)).
- ▶ In fact the above is the same as uniqueness of corecursion.

Anton Setzer (Swansea)

How to Reason Informally Coinductively

18/ 29

Example

► Remember

$$head(s) = 0$$
 $tail(s) = s$
 $head(s'(n)) = 0$ $tail(s'(n)) = s'(n+1)$

- ▶ We show $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}.s = s'(n)$ by indexed coinduction:
 - ▶ head(s) = 0 = head(s'(n)).
 - $tail(s) = s \stackrel{\mathsf{co-IH}}{=} s'(n+1) = tail(s'(n)).$

Example

$$head(s) = 0$$
 $tail(s) = s$

- We show s = cons(0, s) by indexed coinduction:

 - ► tail(s) = s = tail(cons(0, s))(no use of co-IH).

Anton Setzer (Swansea)

How to Reason Informally Coinductively

21/ 29

Proof using the Definition of \sim





- ▶ We show $p \sim q \land p \sim r$ by indexed coinduction:
- ► Coinduction step for $p \sim q$:
 - Assume $p \longrightarrow p'$. Then p' = p. We have $q \longrightarrow r$ and by co-IH $p \sim r$.
 - Assume $q \longrightarrow q'$. Then q' = r. We have $p \longrightarrow p$ and by co-IH $p \sim r$.
- ▶ Coinduction step for $p \sim r$:
 - Assume $p \longrightarrow p'$. Then p' = p. We have $r \longrightarrow q$ and by co-IH $p \sim q$.
 - Assume $r \longrightarrow r'$. Then r' = q. We have $p \longrightarrow p$ and by co-IH $p \sim q$.

Proofs of Other Bisimilarity Relations

- ▶ The above can be used as well for proving other bisimilarity relations.
- ► Consider the following (unlabelled) transition system:





► Bisimilarity is the final coalgebra

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} eta \sim q &
ightarrow (orall p'.p \longrightarrow p' \ &
ightarrow \exists q'.q \longrightarrow q' \wedge p' \sim q') \ &
ightarrow \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot ext{symmetric case} \cdots \end{aligned} \}$$

Anton Setzer (Swansea)

How to Reason Informally Coinductively

22/ 29

Conclusion

| Inductive Definition | Coinductive Definition |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Determined by Introduction | Determined by Observation |
| Iteration | Coiteration |
| Primitive Recursion | Primitive Corecursion |
| Pattern matching | Copattern matching |
| Induction | Coinduction (?) |
| Induction-Hypothesis | Coinduction-Hypothesis |

Acknowledgements

- ➤ To look at iteration, recursion, induction in parallel with coiteration, corecursion, coinduction I learned from Peter Hancock, although we didn't resolve in our discussions what coinduction is and what the precise formulation of corecursion would be.
- ► How to derive from iteration recursion I learned from Thorsten Altenkirch, however that seems to be a well-known fact.

Anton Setzer (Swansea)

How to Reason Informally Coinductively

25/ 29

Appendix

Bibliography

- ► Anton Setzer, Andreas Abel, Brigitte Pientka and David Thibodeau: Unnesting of Copatterns. In Gilles Dowek (Ed): Rewriting and Typed Lambda Calculi. Proceedings RTA-TLCA 2014. LNCS 8560, 2014, pp. 31 45. Doi 10.1007/978-3-319-08918-8_3. Bibtex.
- ▶ Andreas Abel, Brigitte Pientka, David Thibodeau and Anton Setzer: Copatterns: programming infinite structures by observations. Proceedings of POPL 2013, 2013, pp. 27 - 38. Doi 10.1145/2429069.2429075. Bibtex.
- ▶ Anton Setzer: Coalgebras as Types determined by their Elimination Rules. In: Peter Dybjer, Sten Lindström, Erik Palmgren, Göran Sundholm: Epistemology versus ontology: Essays on the foundations of mathematics in honour of Per Martin-Löf. Springer, 2012, pp. 351 369, Doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-4435-6_16. Bibtex

Anton Setzer (Swansea)

How to Reason Informally Coinductively

26/ 29

Difficulty defining Pred Using Iteration

▶ Using iteration pred, the inverse of 0, S is inefficient:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{pred} : \mathbb{N} \to \{-1\} \cup \mathbb{N} \\ \operatorname{pred}(0) &= -1 \\ \operatorname{pred}(\operatorname{S}(n)) &= \operatorname{S}'(\operatorname{pred}(n)) \\ \\ & \text{where} \\ \operatorname{S}' : \{-1\} \cup \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \\ \operatorname{S}'(-1) &= 0 \\ \operatorname{S}(n) &= \operatorname{S}(n) \text{ if } n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \\ \operatorname{pred}(2) &= \operatorname{S}'(\operatorname{pred}(1)) &= \operatorname{S}'(\operatorname{S}'(\operatorname{pred}(0))) \\ &= \operatorname{S}'(\operatorname{S}'(-1)) &= \operatorname{S}'(0) = \operatorname{S}(0) = 1 \\ \end{array}$$

Difficulty defining Cons Using Coiteration

▶ Using coiteration cons, the inverse of head, tail is difficult to define

```
\begin{array}{lll} {\rm cons}: (\mathbb{N} \times {\rm Stream}) \to {\rm Stream} \\ {\rm head}({\rm cons}(n,s)) &= n \\ {\rm tail}({\rm cons}(n,s)) &= {\rm cons}({\rm head}(s),{\rm tail}(s)) \\ e.g. {\rm tail}({\rm tail}({\rm cons}(n,s))) &= {\rm cons}({\rm head}({\rm tail}(s)),{\rm tail}({\rm tail}(s))) \end{array}
```

Anton Setzer (Swansea)

How to Reason Informally Coinductively

| ine |
|--------|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 29/ 29 |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |