

How to Reason Informally Coinductively

Anton Setzer

Swansea University

PCC, Oslo, 22 May 2015

With contributions from Peter Hancock, Thorsten Altenkirch, Andreas Abel, Brigitte Pientka and David Thibodeau.

Goal

1

Inductive Definition	Coinductive Definition
Determined by Introduction	?
Iteration	?
Primitive Recursion	?
Pattern matching	?
Induction	?
Induction-Hypothesis	?

¹Part of this table is due to Peter Hancock, see acknowledgements at the end.

Introduction/Elimination of Inductive/Coinductive Sets

- ▶ Introduction rules for Natural numbers means that we have

$$0 \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$S : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

- ▶ Dually, coinductive sets are given by their elimination rules i.e. by **observations**.

As an example we consider Stream:

$$\text{head} : \text{Stream} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

$$\text{tail} : \text{Stream} \rightarrow \text{Stream}$$

Duality

Inductive Definition	Coinductive Definition
Determined by Introduction	Determined by Observation
Iteration	?
Primitive Recursion	?
Pattern matching	?
Induction	?
Induction-Hypothesis	?

Unique Iteration

- ▶ That $(\mathbb{N}, 0, S)$ are minimal can be given by:
 - ▶ Assume another \mathbb{N} -structure (X, z, s) , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}z &\in X \\ s &: X \rightarrow X\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Then there exist a **unique homomorphism** $g : (\mathbb{N}, 0, S) \rightarrow (X, z, s)$:

$$\begin{aligned}g &: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X \\ g(0) &= z \\ g(S(n)) &= s(g(n))\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ This means we can define uniquely

$$\begin{aligned}g &: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X \\ g(0) &= x \quad \text{for some } x \in X \\ g(S(n)) &= x' \quad \text{for some } x' \in X \text{ depending on } g(n)\end{aligned}$$

Unique Coiteration

- ▶ Dually, that $(\text{Stream}, \text{head}, \text{tail})$ is maximal can be given by:
 - ▶ Assume another Stream-structure (X, h, t) :

$$h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

$$t : X \rightarrow X$$

- ▶ Then there exist a **unique homomorphism** $g : (X, h, t) \rightarrow (\text{Stream}, \text{head}, \text{tail})$:

$$g : X \rightarrow \text{Stream}$$

$$\text{head}(g(x)) = h(x)$$

$$\text{tail}(g(x)) = g(t(x))$$

- ▶ Means we can define uniquely

$$g : X \rightarrow \text{Stream}$$

$$\text{head}(g(x)) = n \quad \text{for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ depending on } x$$

$$\text{tail}(g(x)) = g(x') \quad \text{for some } x' \in X \text{ depending on } x$$

Duality

Inductive Definition	Coinductive Definition
Determined by Introduction	Determined by Observation
Iteration	Coiteration
Primitive Recursion	?
Pattern matching	?
Induction	?
Induction-Hypothesis	?

Unique Primitive Recursion

- ▶ From unique iteration we can derive principle of **unique primitive recursion**
 - ▶ We can define uniquely

$$\begin{aligned}g &: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X \\g(0) &= x \quad \text{for some } x \in X \\g(S(n)) &= x' \quad \text{for some } x' \in X \text{ depending on } n, g(n)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Primitive **pattern matching**.

Unique Primitive Corecursion

- ▶ From unique coiteration we can derive principle of **unique primitive corecursion**
 - ▶ We can define uniquely

$$\begin{aligned}g &: X \rightarrow \text{Stream} \\ \text{head}(g(x)) &= n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ depending on } x \\ \text{tail}(g(x)) &= g(x') \text{ for some } x' \in X \text{ depending on } x \\ &\text{or} \\ &= s \text{ for some } s \in \text{Stream} \text{ depending on } x\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ **Note:** No application of a function to $g(x')$ allowed.
- ▶ Primitive **copattern matching**.

Example

$$\begin{aligned}s &\in \text{Stream} \\ \text{head}(s) &= 0 \\ \text{tail}(s) &= s\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}s' : \mathbb{N} &\rightarrow \text{Stream} \\ \text{head}(s'(n)) &= 0 \\ \text{tail}(s'(n)) &= s'(n+1)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{cons} : (\mathbb{N} \times \text{Stream}) &\rightarrow \text{Stream} \\ \text{head}(\text{cons}(n, s)) &= n \\ \text{tail}(\text{cons}(n, s)) &= s\end{aligned}$$

Duality

Inductive Definition	Coinductive Definition
Determined by Introduction	Determined by Observation
Iteration	Coiteration
Primitive Recursion	Primitive Corecursion
Pattern matching	Copattern matching
Induction	?
Induction-Hypothesis	?

Induction

- ▶ From unique iteration one can derive principle of **induction**:

We can prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}.\varphi(n)$ by proving

$\varphi(0)$

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}.\varphi(n) \rightarrow \varphi(S(n))$

- ▶ Using induction we can prove (assuming extensionality of functions) uniqueness of iteration and primitive recursion.

Equivalence

Theorem

Let $(\mathbb{N}, 0, S)$ be an \mathbb{N} -algebra. The following is equivalent

1. *The principle of unique iteration.*
2. *The principle of unique primitive recursion.*
3. *The principle of iteration + induction.*
4. *The principle of primitive recursion + induction.*

Coinduction

- ▶ Uniqueness in coiteration is equivalent to the principle:
Bisimulation implies equality
- ▶ Bisimulation on Stream is the largest relation \sim on Stream s.t.

$$s \sim s' \rightarrow \text{head}(s) = \text{head}(s') \wedge \text{tail}(s) \sim \text{tail}(s')$$

- ▶ Largest can be expressed as \sim being an indexed coinductively defined set.
- ▶ Primitive corecursion over \sim means:
We can prove

$$\forall s, s'. X(s, s') \rightarrow s \sim s'$$

by showing

$$\begin{aligned} X(s, s') &\rightarrow \text{head}(s) = \text{head}(s') \\ X(s, s') &\rightarrow X(\text{tail}(s), \text{tail}(s')) \vee \text{tail}(s) \sim \text{tail}(s') \end{aligned}$$

Coinduction

- ▶ Combining
 - ▶ bisimulation implies equality
 - ▶ bisimulation can be shown corecursively
- we obtain the following principle of **coinduction**

Schema of Coinduction

- ▶ We can prove

$$\forall s, s'. X(s, s') \rightarrow s = s'$$

by showing

$$\forall s, s'. X(s, s') \rightarrow \text{head}(s) = \text{head}(s')$$

$$\forall s, s'. X(s, s') \rightarrow \text{tail}(s) = \text{tail}(s')$$

where $\text{tail}(s) = \text{tail}(s')$ can be derived

- ▶ directly or
- ▶ from a proof of

$$X(\text{tail}(s), \text{tail}(s'))$$

invoking the **co-induction-hypothesis**

$$X(\text{tail}(s), \text{tail}(s')) \rightarrow \text{tail}(s) = \text{tail}(s')$$

- ▶ **Note:** Only direct use of co-IH allowed.

Indexed Coinduction

- ▶ For using coinduction, one typically wants to show for some $f, g : X \rightarrow \text{Stream}$

$$\forall x \in X. f(x) = g(x)$$

- ▶ Using $X(s, s') = \{x \mid f(x) = s \wedge g(x) = s'\}$ we obtain the principle of **indexed coinduction**

Schema Indexed Coinduction

- ▶ We can prove

$$\forall x \in X. f(x) = g(x)$$

by showing

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x \in X. \text{head}(f(x)) &= \text{head}(g(x)) \\ \forall x \in X. \text{tail}(f(x)) &= \text{tail}(g(x))\end{aligned}$$

where $\text{tail}(f(x)) = \text{tail}(g(x))$ can be derived

- ▶ directly or
- ▶ by

$$\text{tail}(f(x)) = f(x') \quad \text{tail}(g(x)) = g(x')$$

and using the **co-induction-hypothesis**

$$f(x') = g(x')$$

- ▶ Again **only direct use of co-IH** allowed
(otherwise you can derive $\text{tail}(f(x)) = \text{tail}(g(x))$ from $f(x) = g(x)$).
- ▶ In fact the above is the same as uniqueness of corecursion.

Equivalence

Theorem

Let $(\text{Stream}, \text{head}, \text{tail})$ be a Stream-coalgebra. The following is equivalent

- 1. The principle of unique coiteration.*
- 2. The principle of unique primitive corecursion.*
- 3. The principle of coiteration + coinduction*
- 4. The principle of primitive corecursion + coinduction*
- 5. The principle of coiteration + indexed coinduction.*
- 6. The principle of primitive corecursion + indexed coinduction.*

Example

► Remember

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{head}(s) = 0 & \text{tail}(s) = s \\ \text{head}(s'(n)) = 0 & \text{tail}(s'(n)) = s'(n + 1) \end{array}$$

► We show $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}. s = s'(n)$ by indexed coinduction:

- $\text{head}(s) = 0 = \text{head}(s'(n))$.
- $\text{tail}(s) = s \stackrel{\text{co-IH}}{=} s'(n + 1) = \text{tail}(s'(n))$.


Example

$$\text{head}(s) = 0 \quad \text{tail}(s) = s$$

- ▶ We show $s = \text{cons}(0, s)$ by indexed coinduction:
 - ▶ $\text{head}(s) = 0 = \text{head}(\text{cons}(0, s))$.
 - ▶ $\text{tail}(s) = s = \text{tail}(\text{cons}(0, s))$
(no use of co-IH).

Proofs of Other Bisimilarity Relations

- ▶ The above can be used as well for proving other bisimilarity relations.
- ▶ Consider the following (unlabelled) transition system:



- ▶ Bisimilarity is the final coalgebra

$$\begin{aligned} p \sim q \rightarrow & (\forall p'. p \longrightarrow p' \\ & \rightarrow \exists q'. q \longrightarrow q' \wedge p' \sim q') \\ & \wedge \dots \text{symmetric case} \dots \} \end{aligned}$$

Proof using the Definition of \sim



- ▶ We show $p \sim q \wedge p \sim r$ by indexed coinduction:
- ▶ **Coinduction step for $p \sim q$:**
 - ▶ Assume $p \longrightarrow p'$. Then $p' = p$.
We have $q \longrightarrow r$ and by co-IH $p \sim r$.
 - ▶ Assume $q \longrightarrow q'$. Then $q' = r$.
We have $p \longrightarrow p$ and by co-IH $p \sim r$.
- ▶ **Coinduction step for $p \sim r$:**
 - ▶ Assume $p \longrightarrow p'$. Then $p' = p$.
We have $r \longrightarrow q$ and by co-IH $p \sim q$.
 - ▶ Assume $r \longrightarrow r'$. Then $r' = q$.
We have $p \longrightarrow p$ and by co-IH $p \sim q$.

Conclusion

Inductive Definition	Coinductive Definition
Determined by Introduction	Determined by Observation
Iteration	Coiteration
Primitive Recursion	Primitive Corecursion
Pattern matching	Copattern matching
Induction	Coinduction (?)
Induction-Hypothesis	Coinduction-Hypothesis

Acknowledgements

- ▶ To look at iteration, recursion, induction in parallel with coiteration, corecursion, coinduction I learned from Peter Hancock, although we didn't resolve in our discussions what coinduction is and what the precise formulation of corecursion would be.
- ▶ How to derive from iteration recursion I learned from Thorsten Altenkirch, however that seems to be a well-known fact.

Bibliography

- ▶ Anton Setzer, Andreas Abel, Brigitte Pientka and David Thibodeau: **Unnesting of Copatterns**. In Gilles Dowek (Ed): *Rewriting and Typed Lambda Calculi*. Proceedings RTA-TLCA 2014. LNCS 8560, 2014, pp. 31 - 45. [Doi 10.1007/978-3-319-08918-8_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-08918-8_3). [Bibtex](#).
- ▶ Andreas Abel, Brigitte Pientka, David Thibodeau and Anton Setzer: **Copatterns: programming infinite structures by observations**. Proceedings of POPL 2013, 2013, pp. 27 - 38. [Doi 10.1145/2429069.2429075](https://doi.org/10.1145/2429069.2429075). [Bibtex](#).
- ▶ Anton Setzer: **Coalgebras as Types determined by their Elimination Rules**. In: Peter Dybjer, Sten Lindström, Erik Palmgren, Göran Sundholm: *Epistemology versus ontology: Essays on the foundations of mathematics in honour of Per Martin-Löf*. Springer, 2012, pp. 351 – 369, [Doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-4435-6_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4435-6_16). [Bibtex](#)

Appendix

Difficulty defining Pred Using Iteration

- ▶ Using iteration pred, the inverse of 0, S is inefficient:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{pred} : \mathbb{N} &\rightarrow \{-1\} \cup \mathbb{N} \\ \text{pred}(0) &= -1 \\ \text{pred}(S(n)) &= S'(\text{pred}(n))\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}S' : \{-1\} \cup \mathbb{N} &\rightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ S'(-1) &= 0 \\ S(n) &= S(n) \text{ if } n \in \mathbb{N}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{pred}(2) &= S'(\text{pred}(1)) = S'(S'(\text{pred}(0))) \\ &= S'(S'(-1)) = S'(0) = S(0) = 1\end{aligned}$$

Difficulty defining Cons Using Coiteration

- ▶ Using coiteration `cons`, the inverse of `head`, `tail` is difficult to define

$$\text{cons} : (\mathbb{N} \times \text{Stream}) \rightarrow \text{Stream}$$
$$\text{head}(\text{cons}(n, s)) = n$$
$$\text{tail}(\text{cons}(n, s)) = \text{cons}(\text{head}(s), \text{tail}(s))$$

e.g. $\text{tail}(\text{tail}(\text{cons}(n, s))) = \text{cons}(\text{head}(\text{tail}(s)), \text{tail}(\text{tail}(s)))$