

---

# Coalgebras as Types

## Determined by their Elimination Rules

**Anton Setzer**  
**Swansea University (Wales, UK)**

(Conference dedicated to Per Martin-Löf  
on occasion of his retirement, May 5 - 8, 2009)

1. Inductive and coinductive types
2. Model for a type theory with coinductive types.
3. Meaning explanations – inductive and coinductive.

# Prelim: Notation for Disjoint Union

---



$$\text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\mathbb{N}, X)$$

is the **disjoint union** of the elements  $\text{nil}'$  and  $\text{cons}' n x$  for  $n : \mathbb{N}$  and  $x : X$ .

● So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nil}' & : \text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\mathbb{N}, X) , \\ \text{cons}' n l & : \text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\mathbb{N}, X) \quad [n : \mathbb{N}, l : X] . \end{aligned}$$

# Notation for Disjoint Union

---

- And

$$t := \text{case } s \text{ of } \begin{cases} (\text{nil}') & \rightarrow \text{case}_{\text{nil}} \\ (\text{cons}' \ n \ l) & \rightarrow \text{case}_{\text{cons}} \ n \ l \end{cases}$$

is the term s.t.

$$t = \begin{cases} \text{case}_{\text{nil}} & \text{if } s = \text{nil}' \text{ ,} \\ \text{case}_{\text{cons}} \ n \ l & \text{if } s = \text{cons}' \ n \ l \text{ .} \end{cases}$$

# 1. Inductive and Coinductive Types

---

- Inductive types are determined by their **introduction rules**.
- Example List:

List : Set

nil : List

cons :  $(n : \mathbb{N}, l : \text{List}) \rightarrow \text{List}$

- Elimination rules express List is the least set introduced by those introduction rules:

# Elimination Rules for List

---

- Assume

$$A \quad : \quad \text{List} \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

$$\text{step}_{\text{nil}} \quad : \quad A \text{ nil} \quad ,$$

$$\text{step}_{\text{cons}} \quad : \quad (n : \mathbb{N}, l : \text{List}, ih : A l) \rightarrow A (\text{cons } n l)$$

Then we have

$$f := \text{elim } A \text{ step}_{\text{nil}} \text{ step}_{\text{cons}} : (l : \text{List}) \rightarrow A l$$

$$f \text{ nil} \quad = \quad \text{step}_{\text{nil}}$$

$$f (\text{cons } n l) \quad = \quad \text{step}_{\text{cons}} n l (f l)$$

# List as an Initial Algebra

---

- The above rules correspond to List being the **initial algebra** for the functor

$$F(X) := \text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\mathbb{N}, X)$$

- That List is an algebra for  $F$  means that we have a function

$$\text{intro} : (\text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\mathbb{N}, \text{List})) \rightarrow \text{List}$$

- From this we obtain the **introduction rules** for List:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nil} &:= \text{intro nil}' && : \text{List} , \\ \text{cons } n \ l &:= \text{intro (cons}' \ n \ l) && : \text{List} . \end{aligned}$$

- So **formation/introduction rules** express List is an **algebra for F**.
-

# List as an Initial Algebra

- That `List` is an **initial algebra** means that if  $f$  is as below there exists a **unique**  $g := \text{elim } f$  s.t. the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\mathbb{N}, \text{List}) & \xrightarrow{\text{intro}} & \text{List} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ \text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\text{id}_{\mathbb{N}}, g) & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ \text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\mathbb{N}, X) & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

- Let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{step}_{\text{nil}} &= f \text{ nil}' \\ \text{step}_{\text{cons}} \ n \ l &= f (\text{cons}' \ n \ l) \end{aligned}$$

# List as an Initial Algebra

---

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\mathbb{N}, \text{List}) & \xrightarrow{\text{intro}} & \text{List} \\ \downarrow \text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\text{id}_{\mathbb{N}}, g) & & \downarrow g \\ \text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\mathbb{N}, X) & \xrightarrow{[\text{step}_{\text{nil}}, \text{step}_{\text{cons}}]} & X \end{array}$$

$$g \text{ nil} = \text{step}_{\text{nil}}$$

$$g (\text{cons } n \ l) = \text{step}_{\text{cons}} \ n \ (g \ l)$$

- We obtain **iteration** and can derive the principle of **dependent higher type prim. recursion** from **uniqueness** of iteration.



# CoList

---

- Let `coList` be the **weakly final coalgebra** for  $F$ .
- `coList` is a **coalgebra** means that we have

$$\_.\text{unfold} : \text{coList} \rightarrow (\text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\mathbb{N}, \text{coList}))$$

(used as postfix operation).

- So, if  $l : \text{coList}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} l.\text{unfold} &= \text{nil}' && \text{or} \\ l.\text{unfold} &= \text{cons}' \ n \ l \end{aligned}$$

# CoList as Weakly Final Coalgebra

- Assume  $f$  as below. Then there exists  $g$  (called intro  $X$   $f$ ) s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\mathbb{N}, X) \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow \text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\text{id}, g) \\ \text{coList} & \xrightarrow{\_.\text{unfold}} & \text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\mathbb{N}, \text{coList}) \end{array}$$

- So

$$\begin{aligned} (g \ x).\text{unfold} = & \\ \text{case } (f \ x) \text{ of } & \{ (\text{nil}') \quad \longrightarrow \text{nil}' \\ & (\text{cons}' \ n \ y) \quad \longrightarrow \text{cons}' \ n \ (g \ y) \end{aligned}$$

# Guarded Recursion

---

$$(g\ x).unfold = \text{case } (f\ x) \text{ of } \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (\text{nil}') & \longrightarrow \text{nil}' \\ (\text{cons}'\ n\ y) & \longrightarrow \text{cons}'\ n\ (g\ y) \end{array} \right\}$$

- $f$  contains the information needed to define a simple but generic case of **guarded recursion**:  
We can define  $f : X \rightarrow \text{coList}$  s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} (f\ x).unfold &= \text{nil}' && \text{or} \\ (f\ x).unfold &= \text{cons}'\ n\ (f\ y) && \text{for some } n, y \end{aligned}$$

- More general cases of guarded recursion can be derived assuming a final coalgebra.

# Example

---

- Define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{inc} &: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{coList} \\ (\text{inc } n).\text{unfold} &= \text{cons}' n (\text{inc } (n + 1)) \end{aligned}$$

- Roughly speaking,  $\text{inc } n$  is

$$\text{cons}' n (\text{cons}' (n + 1) (\text{cons}' (n + 2) \dots))$$

But the unfolding is controlled by `unfold`, which avoids non-normalisation.

# Other examples of Coalgebras

---

- **Interactive programs** as coalgebras:

- Let

coalg IO : Set where

$\_.\text{command} : \text{IO} \rightarrow (\text{read} + \text{write}(\text{String}))$

$\_.\text{next} : (p : \text{IO}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} (\text{command } p)$

where

$\mathbb{R} \text{ read} = \text{String} \rightarrow \text{IO}$

$\mathbb{R} (\text{write } s) = \text{IO}$

- $\_.\text{unfold}$ ,  $\_.\text{command}$ ,  $\_.\text{next}$  above are **destructors**, dual of a **constructor**.

# Example Program

---

mutual

$\text{echo}_0$  : IO

$\text{echo}_0.\text{command}$  = read

$\text{echo}_0.\text{next } s$  =  $\text{echo}_1 s$

$\text{echo}_1$  :  $\text{String} \rightarrow \text{IO}$

$(\text{echo}_1 s).\text{command}$  = write  $s$

$(\text{echo}_1 s).\text{next}$  =  $\text{echo}_0$

# Failure of Logic in Computer Science

---

- Mark Priestley in a talk in Swansea:
  - **Failure of logic to contribute to computer science.**
    - Substantial contribution of logic to development of Algol.
    - Since emergence of object-oriented programming **lead of development taken by practical computing.**
  - Possible explanation (A. S.):
    - Programs in computer science switched from **batch programs** to **interactive programs**.
    - Interactive programs correspond to **coinductive** rather than **inductive definitions**.
    - Coinductive definitions underdeveloped in logic.

# Other examples of Coalgebras

---

- The set of real numbers in  $[-1, 1]$  having a **binary expansion**:

$$r = 0.d_0d_1d_2 \cdots$$

with  $d_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$   
is given by

coalg  $\mathcal{R} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  where

$$\_.\text{p} \quad : \quad \{r : \mathbb{R}\} \rightarrow (q : \mathcal{R} r) \rightarrow r \in [-1, 1]$$

$$\_.\text{digit} \quad : \quad \{r : \mathbb{R}\} \rightarrow (q : \mathcal{R} r) \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

$$\_.\text{tail} \quad : \quad \{r : \mathbb{R}\} \rightarrow (q : \mathcal{R} r) \rightarrow \mathcal{R} (2 \cdot r - q.\text{digit})$$

( $\{r : \mathbb{R}\}$  is a hidden argument.)



# Binary expansion of $\frac{1}{3}$

---

• E.g.

mutual

$$q_0 : \mathcal{R} \frac{1}{3}$$

$$q_0.p = \dots : \frac{1}{3} \in [-1, 1]$$

$$q_0.\text{digit} = 0$$

$$q_0.\text{tail} = q_1 : \mathcal{R} (2 \cdot \frac{1}{3} - 0)$$

$$q_1 : \mathcal{R} \frac{2}{3}$$

$$q_1.p = \dots : \frac{2}{3} \in [-1, 1]$$

$$q_1.\text{digit} = 1$$

$$q_1.\text{tail} = q_0 : \mathcal{R} (2 \cdot \frac{2}{3} - 1)$$

# Informal Treatment of the above

---

- The set of real numbers with binary expansion is **coinductively** defined as follows:
  - If  $r \in [-1, 1]$ , and there exists a  $\text{digit} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  s.t.  $2 \cdot r - \text{digit}$  has a binary expansion, then  $r$  has a binary expansion.
- We prove that  $\frac{1}{3}$  and  $\frac{2}{3}$  have binary expansion simultaneously:
  - Both are in  $[-1, 1]$ .
  - For  $r := \frac{1}{3}$  we have with  $\text{digit}_0 := 0$  that  $2 \cdot r - \text{digit}_0$  has a binary expansion by **co-IH**.
  - For  $r := \frac{2}{3}$  we have with  $\text{digit}_1 := 1$  that  $2 \cdot r - \text{digit}_1$  has a binary expansion by **co-IH**.

# (Bi)simulation

---

- Let an  $A$ -labelled transition system  $T_1, \longrightarrow$  be given by  $T_1 : \text{Set}$  and a relation  $R \subseteq T_1 \times A \times T_1$  written as  $t \xrightarrow{a} t'$  for  $(t, a, t') \in R$ .
- Let  $T_1, T_2$  be  $A$ -labelled transition system.
- Simulation between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  is the largest relation  $\leq \subseteq T_1 \times T_2$  s.t.

$$\forall t_1 \in T_1. \forall t_2 \in T_2. \forall a \in A. \forall t'_1 \in T_1. t_1 \leq t_2 \rightarrow t_1 \xrightarrow{a} t'_1 \rightarrow \\ \exists t'_2 \in T_2. t_2 \xrightarrow{a} t'_2 \wedge t'_1 \leq t'_2$$

# (Bi)simulation

---

- Defined as a coalgebra as

$\text{coalg } \leq: T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow \text{Set}$  where

$$\begin{aligned} \_.\text{unfold} &: \{t_1 : T_1, t_2 : T_2\} \rightarrow (t_1 \leq t_2, t'_1 : T_1, a : A, t_1 \xrightarrow{a} t'_1 \\ &\rightarrow (t'_2 : T_2) \times (t_2 \xrightarrow{a} t'_2) \times (t'_1 \leq t'_2) \end{aligned}$$

# Example

---

- Let  $T_1$  be given as

$$a_1 \xrightarrow{\text{tick}} a_2 \xrightarrow{\text{tick}} a_3 \xrightarrow{\text{tick}} \dots$$

- Let  $T_1 = \{a\}$  with  $a \xrightarrow{\text{tick}} a$ .
- Traditional proof of  $\forall n : \mathbb{N}. a_n \leq a$ :
  - Let  $R := \{\langle a_n, a \rangle \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .
  - Show  $R$  is a simulation relation.
  - Need to show that if  $\langle a_n, a \rangle \in R$ ,  $a_n \xrightarrow{x} a'$ , then there exists  $a''$  s.t.  $\langle a', a'' \rangle \in R$  and  $a \xrightarrow{x} a''$ .
  - Now in the above situation we have  $x = \text{tick}$ ,  
 $a' = a_{n+1}$ .
  - Let  $a'' := a$ , then the conditions are fulfilled.
  - ~~So  $R$  is a simulation relation,  $R \subseteq \leq$ , so  $a_n \leq a$ .~~

# Example

---

- Proof of  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}. a_n \leq a$  using guarded recursion:

lem :  $(n : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow a_n \leq a$

$(\text{lem } n).\text{unfold } a_{n+1} \text{ tick } \underbrace{\text{triv}}_{:a_n \xrightarrow{\text{tick}} a_{n+1}} = \langle a, \text{triv}, \text{lem } (n + 1) \rangle$

# Informal Reading of the Proof

---

- We show  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}. a_n \leq a$  by coinduction on  $a_n \leq a$ :
  - Assume  $a_n \xrightarrow{x} a'$ .
  - Then  $x = \text{tick}$ ,  $a' = a_{n+1}$ .
  - Then we have  $a \xrightarrow{x} a$  and by colH  $a_{n+1} \leq a$ .
  - So  $a_n \leq a$ .

# 2. Model

---

- For simplicity we ignore here equalities.
- Sets modelled as sets of terms.
- **Model of List:**
  - $[[ \text{List} ]]$  is defined inductively by:
    - If  $t \longrightarrow \text{nil}$ , then  $t \in [[ \text{List} ]]$ .
    - If  $n \in [[ \mathbb{N} ]]$ ,  $l \in [[ \text{List} ]]$ ,  $t \longrightarrow \text{cons } n \ l$ , then  $t \in [[ \text{List} ]]$ .



# Model of $A \rightarrow B$

---

- $\llbracket A \rightarrow B \rrbracket := \{f \mid \forall a \in \llbracket A \rrbracket. f\ a \in \llbracket B \rrbracket\}$ .

# Model of coList

---

- Define for (Meta-) $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{red}_n \quad : \quad \text{Term} \rightarrow_{\text{partial}} \text{Term}$$

$$\text{red}_0 a \quad := \quad a.\text{unfold}$$

$$\text{red}_{n+1} a \quad := \quad \begin{cases} \text{nil}' & \text{if } \text{red}_n a \longrightarrow \text{nil}' \\ a'.\text{unfold} & \text{if } \text{red}_n a \longrightarrow \text{cons}' n a' \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Now

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \text{coList} \rrbracket &:= \{t \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \text{red}_n t \downarrow \wedge \\ &\quad (\text{red}_n t \longrightarrow \text{nil}' \vee \\ &\quad \exists m \in \llbracket \mathbb{N} \rrbracket. \exists t'. \text{red}_n t \longrightarrow \text{cons}' m t')\} \end{aligned}$$

# Definition using largest Fixed points

---

- The above definition avoids the use of largest fixed points.
- Using largest fixed points we can define

$\llbracket \text{coList} \rrbracket =$  largest set  $X$  s.t.

$$\forall t \in X. t.\text{unfold} \longrightarrow \text{nil}' \vee$$

$$\exists n \in \llbracket \mathbb{N} \rrbracket. t' \in X. t.\text{unfold} \longrightarrow \text{cons}' n t'$$

- or: **Coinductive definition of  $\llbracket \text{coList} \rrbracket$ :**  
If

- $t.\text{unfold} \longrightarrow \text{nil}'$  or

- $t.\text{unfold} \longrightarrow \text{cons}' n t'$  **some**  $n \in \llbracket \mathbb{N} \rrbracket, t' \in \llbracket \text{coList} \rrbracket$

**then**  $t \in \llbracket \text{coList} \rrbracket$ .

# 3. Meaning Explanations

---

- Meaning explanations of inductive data types correspond to the **introduction rules**.
- E.g. Meaning of List:
  - `nil` is a **canonical element** of List.
  - If  $n$  is a natural number,  $l$  is a (not necessarily canonical) element of List, then `cons  $n$   $l$`  is a **canonical element** of List.
  - An arbitrary element of List is a program which **evaluates** to a canonical element of List.
- Meaning of `nil` and `cons` is trivial (they compute canonical elements of List).
- Meaning of the terms introduced by the elimination rules refers to the meaning of elements of List.

# Example: Explanation of `append`

---

- Let

`append` :  $\text{List} \rightarrow \text{List} \rightarrow \text{List}$

`append nil l'` =  $l'$

`append (cons n l) l'` =  $\text{cons } n (\text{append } l l')$

- We show how to compute for  $l, l'$  elements of `List`

`append l l'`, which is an element of `List`:

`append l l'` is computed as follows:

- Compute  $l$ . We obtain either `nil` or `cons n l''` for an element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  and an element  $l''$  introduced before  $l$ .

# Explanation of `append`

---

- If we obtain `nil`, the result of the computation is  $l'$  which is an element of `List`.
- If we obtain `cons n l''` we know how to compute `append l'' l'` which is an element of `List`.  
The program evaluates to `cons n (append l'' l)` which is a (canonical) element of `List`.

# Meaning of $A \rightarrow B$

---

- We give the meaning of the logical framework  $A \rightarrow B$  (not of  $\prod x : A.B$ ).
- An element  $f$  of  $A \rightarrow B$  is a program, which, taken as input an element of  $A$ , computes an element of  $B$ .
- Meaning of the result of the elimination rule for  $A \rightarrow B$ :

$$\frac{f : A \rightarrow B \quad a : A}{f a : B}$$

Assume  $f : A \rightarrow B$ . Then  $f$  is a program which computes from any element  $a$  of  $A$  an element of  $B$ .  $f a$  evaluates to the element computed by  $f$  from input  $a$ .

# Meaning of $A \rightarrow B$

---

- We give an explanation of the term introduced by the introduction rule for  $A \rightarrow B$ :

$$\frac{x : A \Rightarrow b : B}{(x)b : A \rightarrow B}$$

- Assume we have depending on a hypothetical element  $x$  of  $A$  that  $b$  is an element of  $B$ .
- Then  $(x)b$  is the element  $A \rightarrow B$ , which if evaluated with input  $a$  which is an element of  $A$  evaluates to  $b[x := a]$ , which is an element of  $B$ .



# Meaning of $A \rightarrow B$

---

- So the meaning of  $A \rightarrow B$  is given by its **elimination rule**.
- The meaning of the terms introduced by the introduction rule is given by referring to this definition.

# Meaning of `coList`

---

- Meaning of `coList`:
  - An element of `coList` is a program which can **take as input an unfold operation**. If it receives this operation, it computes to either  $\text{nil}'$  or  $\text{cons}' n l$  for an element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  and an element  $l$  of `coList`.
- $l.\text{unfold}$  for  $l$  an element of `coList` is the program computed by  $l$  if receiving an `unfold`.
- As an example of the meaning explanations for the introduction rules, we give the meaning of  $\text{inc } n$  for  $n$  an element of  $\mathbb{N}$ , where  $\text{inc}$  is defined as follows:

$$\text{inc} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{coList}$$

$$(\text{inc } n).\text{unfold} = \text{cons}' n (\text{inc } (n + 1))$$

# Meaning of `coList`

---

- We need to show that `inc n` is an element of `coList` for any  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ .
  - `inc n` is the program, which, if it receives an `unfold` call, evaluates to `cons' n (inc (n + 1))`.
  - $n$  is an element of  $\mathbb{N}$ .
  - `inc (n + 1)` is an element of `coList`.

# Conclusion

---

- Coalgebras = **dual of algebras**.
- In mathematics long tradition of **inductive definitions**, and proofs by induction.
- **Coinductive definitions** and proofs by coinduction (= guarded recursion) **not much used**.
- In computer science coinductive definitions are **as important as inductive definitions**.

# Conclusion

---

- Meaning for inductive types are given by their **introduction rules**.
  - Explanation of terms given by **elimination rules** is introduced in a **second step**.
- Meaning for the function type and for coinductive types (coalgebras) are given by their **elimination rules**.
  - Meaning of terms given by **introduction rules** is defined in a **second step**.
- So some types are **determined by how we introduce them**, and some are **determined by what we can do with its elements**.

# Conclusion

---

- We lose the notion of a **canonical element** of a set.
- There are introduction rules for elements of a weakly final coalgebra.
- An element of a weakly final coalgebra  $C$  for functor  $F$  reduces to  $\text{intro } X \ f$  where  $f : X \rightarrow F(X)$ .
  - That's similar to an element of  $A \rightarrow B$  reducing to something of the form  $(x)b$  for  $x : A \Rightarrow b : B$ .