

Coalgebraic Programming Using Copattern Matching

Anton Setzer

Swansea University, Swansea UK

Gregynog, Wales, UK, 27 June 2013

Continuity, Computability, Constructivity –
From Logic to Algorithms (CCC 2013)

Axiomatising the Real Numbers in Dependent Type Theory

Formulation of Coalgebras in Dependent Type Theory

Patterns and Copatterns

Conclusion

Appendix: Definition of Example of (Co)pattern Matching in Stages

Appendix: Simulating Codata Types in Coalgebras

Axiomatising the Real Numbers in Dependent Type Theory

Formulation of Coalgebras in Dependent Type Theory

Patterns and Copatterns

Conclusion

Appendix: Definition of Example of (Co)pattern Matching in Stages

Appendix: Simulating Codata Types in Coalgebras

Treating Real Numbers as Acclimatised Real Numbers

- ▶ We want to formulate real numbers in dependent type theory.
- ▶ Instead of working with concrete computable real numbers we want to work with
 - ▶ axiomatized abstract real numbers,
 - ▶ and a predicate for real numbers being computable.
- ▶ Then we show that functions we want to define map computable real numbers to computable ones.
- ▶ From this we obtain an algorithm for computing the function on suitable representations.

Postulates

- ▶ The theorem prover Agda has the concept of a postulate.
- ▶ `postulate a : A`
means that we introduce a new constant a of type A without any computation rules.
- ▶ As in any axiomatic approach, postulates can make Agda inconsistent:

`postulate falsum : ⊥`

allows us to prove everything.

- ▶ Postulates are okay, if one allows them in a restricted way.

Real Number Axioms in Agda

```
postulate ℝ      : Set
postulate zero   : ℝ
postulate _+_    : ℝ → ℝ → ℝ
postulate ax+    : (r : ℝ) → r + 0 == r
...
```

Signed Digit Reals

```

Digit = {-1, 0, 1} : Set
codata SignedDigit : ℝ → Set where
  signedDigit : (r : ℝ)
    → (r ∈ [-1, 1])
    → (d : Digit)
    → SignedDigit (2 * r - d)
    → SignedDigit r

```

We can extract from a proof of SignedDigit the nth Digit:

```
signedDigit_to_nthDigit : (r : ℝ) → (SignedDigit r) → ℕ → Digit
```

Required Property Needed

- ▶ We want that if we prove for some r

$$p : \text{SignedDigit } r$$

then

$$\text{signedDigit_to_nthDigit } r \ p \ 17$$

reduces to -1 or 0 or 1

and not to something like

$$\text{axiom1 (axiom2 5) 6}$$

- ▶ For this we need to make sure that from a postulated axioms we cannot extract any computational content.
- ▶ What we want is that if we derive

$$a : A$$

where A algebraic data type, a is closed, then a is canonical, i.e. starts with a constructor.

Restrictions on Postulates (PhD thesis Chi Ming Chuang)

- ▶ Postulated functions have as result type equalities or postulated types.
 - ▶ Especially negation is not allowed as conclusion because of $\neg A = A \rightarrow \perp$.
- ▶ Functions defined by case distinction on equalities have as result type only equalities or postulated types.
 - ▶ So when using postulated functions and equalities we stay within equalities and postulated types.

Equalities

- ▶ The problem with equalities was that they occur in conclusions in Agda.
- ▶ If we had 2 equalities:
 - ▶ one on postulated types,
 - ▶ one on non-postulated types,then only a restriction on the equality on postulated types is needed.

Results of PhD Thesis Chi Ming Chuang

- ▶ Chi Ming Chuang: Extraction of Programs for Exact Real Number Computation using Agda. PhD thesis, Dept. of Computer Science, Swansea, March 2011
- ▶ Chi Ming Chuang
 - ▶ showed that under these conditions all closed elements algebraic types are canonical,
 - ▶ introduced the signed digit real numbers and showed that they are closed under av , $*$ and contain the rationals,
 - ▶ transformed them into programs computing those operations on Reals given by streams of signed digits,
 - ▶ was able to execute the resulting programs using a compiled version of Agda.

Axiomatising the Real Numbers in Dependent Type Theory

Formulation of Coalgebras in Dependent Type Theory

Patterns and Copatterns

Conclusion

Appendix: Definition of Example of (Co)pattern Matching in Stages

Appendix: Simulating Codata Types in Coalgebras

Codata in Functional Programming

- ▶ SignedDigit above was defined by a codata type.
- ▶ Consider a simpler example:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codata Stream} &: \text{Set where} \\ \text{cons} &: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream} \rightarrow \text{Stream} \end{aligned}$$

Codata contains objects such as

$$\text{cons } 0 \ (\text{cons } 0 \ (\text{cons } 0 \ \dots))$$

- ▶ We immediately get non-normalisation.
- ▶ Restrictions were applied in Coq and Agda on reductions of elements of codata types.
 - ▶ In Coq resulted in problem of subject reduction.
 - ▶ In Agda restrictions make codata type not very useful.

Coalgebras

- ▶ Solution is to use approach from category theory.
- ▶ Treat coalgebras as we treat functions in the λ -calculus:
 - ▶ There functions are not a set of pairs
 - and therefore an infinite object,
 - ▶ but a program which applied to its arguments computes the result.
- ▶ Similarly elements of coalgebras are not per se infinite objects, but objects which can be unfolded computationally possibly infinitely often:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{coalg Stream} &: \text{Set where} \\ \text{head} &: \text{Stream} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ \text{tail} &: \text{Stream} \rightarrow \text{Stream} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Idea is: an element of `Stream` is any object, to which we can apply `head` and `tail` and obtain natural numbers or `Streams`.

Introduction Rule

$\text{coalg Stream} : \text{Set}$ where
 $\text{head} : \text{Stream} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$
 $\text{tail} : \text{Stream} \rightarrow \text{Stream}$

- ▶ Elimination rule for Stream is given by it's eliminators head, tail.
- ▶ Introduction rule is “derived” (not in a mathematical sense) from the principle that elements of Stream are anything admitting head and tail.
- ▶ Example:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{inc} &: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream} \\ \text{head} (\text{inc } n) &= n \\ \text{tail} (\text{inc } n) &= \text{inc } (n + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Introduction Rules for Coalgebras

- ▶ In its simple form (coiteration) elimination rules correspond exactly to the categorical diagram of a weakly final coalgebra.
- ▶ More advanced forms (e.g. corecursion) can be derived for final coalgebras and then used to extend weakly final coalgebras.

Axiomatising the Real Numbers in Dependent Type Theory

Formulation of Coalgebras in Dependent Type Theory

Patterns and Copatterns

Conclusion

Appendix: Definition of Example of (Co)pattern Matching in Stages

Appendix: Simulating Codata Types in Coalgebras

Patterns and Copatterns

- ▶ In our POPL 2013 paper
 - ▶ Andreas Abel, Brigitte Pientka, David Thibodeau and Anton Setzer: Copatterns: programming infinite structures by observations. POPL 2013, pp. 27 - 38

we

- ▶ showed how to mix pattern and copattern matching, and nest them as well,
- ▶ introduced a small (non-normalising) calculus for mixed and nested pattern and copattern matching,
- ▶ showed that this guarantees that all function definitions are coverage complete,
- ▶ showed that the resulting calculus fulfils subject reduction.

Example of Patterns and Copatterns

Definition of the stream:

$$f\ n = n, n, n-1, n-1, \dots 0, 0, N, N, N-1, N-1, \dots 0, 0, N, N, N-1, N-1,$$

$$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream}$$

$$\text{head } (f\ 0) = 0$$

$$\text{head } (\text{tail } (f\ 0)) = 0$$

$$\text{tail } (\text{tail } (f\ 0)) = f\ N$$

$$\text{head } (f\ (S\ n)) = S\ n$$

$$\text{head } (\text{tail } (f\ (S\ n))) = S\ n$$

$$\text{tail } (\text{tail } (f\ (S\ n))) = f\ n$$

- ▶ There is an easy algorithm to reduce these definitions back to case distinction operators and full recursion.
- ▶ One can trace back the recursion and in some cases reduce it to the primitive (co)recursion operators.

Berger's Data Type of Continuous Functions

Let I denote $[-1, 1]$.

Let I^I be $I \rightarrow I$ for a postulated I or postulated type with suitable axioms.

coalg $\text{Cont } (f : I^I) : \text{Set}$ where

$\text{elim} : (f : I^I) \rightarrow \text{Cont } f \rightarrow \text{Contaux } f$

data $\text{Contaux } (f : I^I) : \text{Set}$ where

$\text{consume} : (f : I^I) \rightarrow ((d : \text{Digit}) \rightarrow \text{Contaux}(f \circ e_d)) \rightarrow \text{Contaux } f$

$\text{produce} : (f : I^I) \rightarrow (d : \text{Digit}) \rightarrow \text{Cont}(e_d^{-1} \circ f) \rightarrow \text{Contaux } f$

Axiomatising the Real Numbers in Dependent Type Theory

Formulation of Coalgebras in Dependent Type Theory

Patterns and Copatterns

Conclusion

Appendix: Definition of Example of (Co)pattern Matching in Stages

Appendix: Simulating Codata Types in Coalgebras

Conclusion

- ▶ Use of postulated Real numbers very good approach to treating real numbers in type theory.
- ▶ Restrictions on postulates guarantee that program extraction works.
- ▶ Copattern matching is the correct dual of pattern matching.
- ▶ Definition of functions on data and codata types by pattern/copattern matching works well.

Axiomatising the Real Numbers in Dependent Type Theory

Formulation of Coalgebras in Dependent Type Theory

Patterns and Copatterns

Conclusion

Appendix: Definition of Example of (Co)pattern Matching in Stages

Appendix: Simulating Codata Types in Coalgebras

Patterns and Copatterns

- ▶ We demonstrate this by an example:

- ▶ Example define stream:

$f\ n =$

$n, n, n - 1, n - 1, \dots 0, 0, N, N, N - 1, N - 1, \dots 0, 0, N, N, N - 1, N - 1,$

Patterns and Copatterns

$f\ n = n, n, n-1, n-1, \dots, 0, 0, N, N, N-1, N-1, \dots, 0, 0, N, N, N-1, N-1,$

$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream}$

$f = ?$

Patterns and Copatterns

$f\ n = n, n, n-1, n-1, \dots, 0, 0, N, N, N-1, N-1, \dots, 0, 0, N, N, N-1, N-1,$

$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream}$

$f = ?$

Pattern match on $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream}$:

$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream}$

$f\ n = ?$

Patterns and Copatterns

$f\ n = n, n, n-1, n-1, \dots 0, 0, N, N, N-1, N-1, \dots 0, 0, N, N, N-1, N-1,$

$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream}$

$f\ n = ?$

Copattern matching on $f\ n : \text{Stream}$:

$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream}$

$\text{head}\ (f\ n) = ?$

$\text{tail}\ (f\ n) = ?$

Patterns and Copatterns

$f\ n = n, n, n-1, n-1, \dots, 0, 0, N, N, N-1, N-1, \dots, 0, 0, N, N, N-1, N-1,$

$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream}$

$\text{head } (f\ n) = ?$

$\text{tail } (f\ n) = ?$

Pattern matching on the first $n : \mathbb{N}$:

$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream}$

$\text{head } (f\ 0) = ?$

$\text{head } (f\ (S\ n)) = ?$

$\text{tail } (f\ n) = ?$

Patterns and Copatterns

$f\ n = n, n, n-1, n-1, \dots 0, 0, N, N, N-1, N-1, \dots 0, 0, N, N, N-1, N-1,$

$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream}$

$\text{head } (f\ 0) = ?$

$\text{head } (f\ (S\ n)) = ?$

$\text{tail } (f\ n) = ?$

Pattern matching on second $n : \mathbb{N}$:

$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream}$

$\text{head } (f\ 0) = ?$

$\text{head } (f\ (S\ n)) = ?$

$\text{tail } (f\ 0) = ?$

$\text{tail } (f\ (S\ n)) = ?$

Patterns and Copatterns

$f\ n = n, n, n-1, n-1, \dots, 0, 0, N, N, N-1, N-1, \dots, 0, 0, N, N, N-1, N-1,$

$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream}$

$\text{head } (f\ 0) = ?$

$\text{head } (f\ (S\ n)) = ?$

$\text{tail } (f\ 0) = ?$

$\text{tail } (f\ (S\ n)) = ?$

Copattern matching on $\text{tail } (f\ 0) : \text{Stream}$

$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream}$

$\text{head } (f\ 0) = ?$

$\text{head } (f\ (S\ n)) = ?$

$\text{head } (\text{tail } (f\ 0)) = ?$

$\text{tail } (\text{tail } (f\ 0)) = ?$

$\text{tail } (f\ (S\ n)) = ?$

Patterns and Copatterns

$$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream}$$

$$\text{head } (f \ 0) = ?$$

$$\text{head } (f \ (S \ n)) = ?$$

$$\text{head } (\text{tail } (f \ 0)) = ?$$

$$\text{tail } (\text{tail } (f \ 0)) = ?$$

$$\text{tail } (f \ (S \ n)) = ?$$

Copattern matching on $\text{tail } (f \ (S \ n)) : \text{Stream}$:

$$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream}$$

$$\text{head } (f \ 0) = ?$$

$$\text{head } (f \ (S \ n)) = ?$$

$$\text{head } (\text{tail } (f \ 0)) = ?$$

$$\text{tail } (\text{tail } (f \ 0)) = ?$$

$$\text{head } (\text{tail } (f \ (S \ n))) = ?$$

$$\text{tail } (\text{tail } (f \ (S \ n))) = ?$$

Patterns and Copatterns

We resolve the goals:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f &: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Stream} \\
 \text{head} \quad (f \ 0 \) &= 0 \\
 \text{head} \ (\text{tail} \ (f \ 0 \)) &= 0 \\
 \text{tail} \ (\text{tail} \ (f \ 0 \)) &= f \ N \\
 \text{head} \quad (f \ (S \ n)) &= S \ n \\
 \text{head} \ (\text{tail} \ (f \ (S \ n))) &= S \ n \\
 \text{tail} \ (\text{tail} \ (f \ (S \ n))) &= f \ n
 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ There is an easy algorithm to reduce these definitions back to case distinction operators and full recursion.
- ▶ One can trace back the recursion and in some cases reduce it to the primitive (co)recursion operators.

Axiomatising the Real Numbers in Dependent Type Theory

Formulation of Coalgebras in Dependent Type Theory

Patterns and Copatterns

Conclusion

Appendix: Definition of Example of (Co)pattern Matching in Stages

Appendix: Simulating Codata Types in Coalgebras

Multiple Constructors in Algebras and Coalgebras

- ▶ Having more than one constructor in algebras correspond to disjoint union:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{data } \mathbb{N} : \text{Set where} \\ 0 & : \mathbb{N} \\ S & : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

corresponds to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{data } \mathbb{N} : \text{Set where} \\ \text{intro} & : (1 + \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

Multiple Constructors in Algebras and Coalgebras

- Dual of disjoint union is products, and therefore multiple destructors correspond to product:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{coalg Stream} &: \text{Set where} \\ \text{head} &: \text{Stream} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ \text{tail} &: \text{Stream} \rightarrow \text{Stream} \end{aligned}$$

corresponds to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{coalg Stream} &: \text{Set where} \\ \text{case} &: \text{Stream} \rightarrow (\mathbb{N} \times \text{Stream}) \end{aligned}$$

Codata Types Correspond to Disjoint Union

- ▶ Consider

`codata coList : Set` where

`nil : coList`

`cons : $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{coList} \rightarrow \text{coList}$`

- ▶ Cannot be simulated by using several destructors.

Simulating Codata Types by Simultaneous Algebras/Coalgebras

- ▶ Represent Codata as follows

mutual

coalg coList : Set where
 unfold : coList \rightarrow coListShape

data coListShape : Set where
 nil : coListShape
 cons : $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow$ coList \rightarrow coListShape

Definition of Append

$\text{append} : \text{coList} \rightarrow \text{coList} \rightarrow \text{coList}$
 $\text{append} / /' = ?$

Definition of Append

$$\text{append} : \text{coList} \rightarrow \text{coList} \rightarrow \text{coList}$$
$$\text{append} / l' = ?$$

We copattern match on $\text{append} / l' : \text{coList}$:

$$\text{append} : \text{coList} \rightarrow \text{coList} \rightarrow \text{coList}$$
$$\text{unfold} (\text{append} / l') = ?$$

Definition of Append

$$\begin{aligned} \text{append} &: \text{coList} \rightarrow \text{coList} \rightarrow \text{coList} \\ \text{unfold} (\text{append } l \ l') &=? \end{aligned}$$

We cannot pattern match on l .

But we can do so on $(\text{unfold } l)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{append} &: \text{coList} \rightarrow \text{coList} \rightarrow \text{coList} \\ \text{unfold} (\text{append } l \ l') &= \\ &\text{case } (\text{unfold } l) \text{ of} \\ &\quad \text{nil} \quad \quad \quad \rightarrow ? \\ &\quad (\text{cons } n \ l) \rightarrow ? \end{aligned}$$

Definition of Append

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{append} : \text{coList} \rightarrow \text{coList} \rightarrow \text{coList} \\
 &\text{unfold} (\text{append } l \ l') = \\
 &\quad \text{case} (\text{unfold } l) \text{ of} \\
 &\quad \quad \text{nil} \quad \quad \quad \rightarrow ? \\
 &\quad \quad (\text{cons } n \ l) \rightarrow ?
 \end{aligned}$$

We resolve the goals:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{append} : \text{coList} \rightarrow \text{coList} \rightarrow \text{coList} \\
 &\text{unfold} (\text{append } l \ l') = \\
 &\quad \text{case} (\text{unfold } l) \text{ of} \\
 &\quad \quad \text{nil} \quad \quad \quad \rightarrow \text{unfold } l' \\
 &\quad \quad (\text{cons } n \ l) \rightarrow \text{cons } n (\text{append } l \ l')
 \end{aligned}$$