

Coalgebras in Dependent Type Theory

Anton Setzer
Swansea University
Swansea, UK

September 8, 2010

1. Categorical View of Coalgebras

2. Codata

3. Nils Danielsson's ∞

4. Suggested Solution

5. Model

Algebraic Data Types

In most functional programming languages we have the notion of an algebraic data type, e.g.

```
data NatList : Set where
  nil      : NatList
  cons    :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{NatList} \rightarrow \text{NatList}$ 
```

Algebraic Data Types

Notation:

$$\text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\mathbb{N}, X)$$

stands for the labelled disjoint union, i.e. the set A s.t.

data A : Set where

$$\text{nil}' : A$$

$$\text{cons}' : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X \rightarrow A$$

Let

$$F : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

$$F X = \text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\mathbb{N}, X)$$

Algebraic Data Types

$$F X = \text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\mathbb{N}, X)$$

Then the following is essentially equivalent to the definition of NatList:

```
data NatList : Set where
  intro  : F NatList → NatList
```

where

```
nil = intro nil'
cons n l = intro (cons' n l)
```

Categorical View of Initial Algebras

The introduction, elimination, and equality rules for algebraic data types follow then from the diagram for initial F -algebras (denoted by μF)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(\mu F) & \xrightarrow{\text{intro}} & \mu F \\
 \downarrow Fg & & \downarrow \exists! g \\
 F A & \xrightarrow{f} & A
 \end{array}$$

One writes $\mu X.t$ for $\mu(\lambda X.t)$ e.g.

$$\text{NatList} = \mu X.\text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\mathbb{N}, X)$$

Final Coalgebras

Final Coalgebras νF are obtained by reversing the arrows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & F A \\
 \downarrow \exists! g & & \downarrow F g \\
 \nu F & \xrightarrow{\text{case}} & F (\nu F)
 \end{array}$$

Again we write $\nu X.t$ for $\nu (\lambda X.t)$.

In weakly final coalgebras the uniqueness of g is omitted.

Coalgebras can be used to model **interactive programs** and **objects** from **object-oriented programming** in dependent type theory.

Suggested Notation

$\text{coalg NatColist} : \text{Set}$ where
 $\text{case} : \text{NatColist} \rightarrow \text{nil} + \text{cons}(\mathbb{N}, \text{NatColist})$

- ▶ To an element of NatColist as above we can apply case as above.
- ▶ Furthermore from the finality we can derive the principle of guarded recursion:

We can define $f : A \rightarrow \text{NatColist}$ by saying what $\text{case}(f\ a)$ is:

- ▶ nil
- ▶ $\text{cons } n\ l$ for some $n : \mathbb{N}, l : \text{NatColist}$
- ▶ $\text{cons } n\ (f\ a')$ for some $n : \mathbb{N}, a' : A$.

Example

$\text{inclist} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{NatColist}$ where
case $(\text{inclist } n) = \text{cons } n (\text{inclist } (n + 1))$

Main goal of this talk: To define nice notations so that coalgebras become usable.

1. Categorical View of Coalgebras

2. Codata

3. Nils Danielsson's ∞

4. Suggested Solution

5. Model

Codata

Coalgebras were introduced in programming languages as codata types:

```
codata NatColist : Set where
  nil    : NatColist
  cons   :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{NatColist} \rightarrow \text{NatColist}$ 
```

Idea is that elements of `NatColist` are

- ▶ `cons n_1 (cons n_2 (cons n_3 \cdots (cons n_k nil) \cdots))` or
- ▶ `cons n_1 (cons n_2 (cons n_3 \cdots .`

Problem of codata

- ▶ No normalisation, e.g.

$$\text{inclist } 0 = \text{cons } 0 (\text{cons } 1 (\text{cons } 2 \dots))$$

- ▶ Undecidability of equality.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cons } (f \ 0) (\text{cons } (f \ 1) \dots) &= \text{cons } (g \ 0) (\text{cons } (g \ 1) \dots) \\ \Leftrightarrow \forall n. f \ n &= g \ n \end{aligned}$$

In case of coalgebras

- ▶ Elements of coalgebras are not expanded indefinitely. They are only expanded if `case` is applied to them.
- ▶ In case of weakly final coalgebras equality of elements of the coalgebras is equality of the underlying algorithms.

Denotational Problems of Coalgebras

$\text{coalg NatColist} : \text{Set}$ where
 $\text{case} : \text{NatColist} \rightarrow \text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\mathbb{N}, \text{NatColist})$

$\text{case} (\text{inclist } n) = \text{cons}' n (\text{inclist } (n + 1))$

is much more lengthy than

$\text{codata NatColist} : \text{Set}$ where
 $\text{nil} \quad : \text{NatColist}$
 $\text{cons} \quad : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{NatColist} \rightarrow \text{NatColist}$

$\text{inclist } n = \text{cons } n (\text{inclist } (n + 1))$

Pseudo-Constructors

If we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{coalg NatColist : Set where} \\ &\text{case : NatColist} \rightarrow \text{nil}' + \text{cons}'(\mathbb{N}, \text{NatColist}) \end{aligned}$$

we can define by guarded recursion

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{nil : NatColist where} \\ &\text{case nil} = \text{nil}' \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{cons : } \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{NatColist} \rightarrow \text{NatColist where} \\ &\text{case (cons } n \ l) = \text{cons}' \ n \ l \end{aligned}$$

Pseudo-Constructors

However we do not have

case $a = \text{cons}' n l$ implies $a = \text{cons } n l$

So elements of `NatColist` are **not** of the form `nil` or `cons n l`.

But **behave** like `nil` or `cons n l`.

~ - Notation

Let

$$s \sim t \Leftrightarrow \text{case } s = \text{case } t$$

Then we have

$$\text{case } s = \text{nil}' \Leftrightarrow s \sim \text{nil}$$

$$\text{case } s = \text{cons}' n l \Leftrightarrow s \sim \text{cons } n l$$

So if $s : \text{NatColist}$ then

$$s \sim \text{nil} \vee s \sim \text{cons } n l \text{ for some } n, l$$

1. Categorical View of Coalgebras

2. Codata

3. Nils Danielsson's ∞

4. Suggested Solution

5. Model

Nils Danielsson's ∞

Nils Danielsson and Thorsten Altenkirch suggested to have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \infty & : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set} \\ \flat & : \{A : \text{Set}\} \rightarrow A \rightarrow \infty A \\ \sharp & : \{A : \text{Set}\} \rightarrow \infty A \rightarrow A \end{aligned}$$

∞A denote coalgebraic arguments in a definition, and one defines `NatColist` as

```
data NatColist : Set where
  nil      : NatColist
  cons    :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \infty \text{NatColist} \rightarrow \text{NatColist}$ 
```

What is ∞A ?

∞A cannot mean

$$\nu X.A$$

since $\nu X.A$ is as a (non-weakly) final coalgebra isomorphic to A : With $F X = A$ we get

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & F X = A \\
 \downarrow \exists! g & & \downarrow F g = \text{id} \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & F A = A
 \end{array}$$

What is ∞A ?

What is meant by it is, that if A is defined as an algebraic data type, ∞A is defined mutually coalgebraically:

```
data NatColist : Set where
  nil      : NatColist
  cons    :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \infty \text{NatColist} \rightarrow \text{NatColist}$ 
```

stands for

```
data NatColist : Set where
  nil      : NatColist
  cons    :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \infty \text{NatColist} \rightarrow \text{NatColist}$ 
```

```
coalg  $\infty \text{NatColist}$  : Set where
   $\natural$  :  $\infty \text{NatColist} \rightarrow \text{NatColist}$ 
```

Order between data/codata

data NatColist : Set where

nil : NatColist

cons : $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \infty \text{ NatColist} \rightarrow \text{NatColist}$

coalg $\infty \text{ NatColist}$: Set where

\natural : $\infty \text{ NatColist} \rightarrow \text{NatColist}$

But there are two interpretations of the above:

1.

$$F(X, Y) = \text{nil} + \text{cons}(\mathbb{N}, Y).$$

$$G(X, Y) = X$$

$$F'(Y) = \mu X. F(X, Y) = \mu X. \text{nil} + \text{cons}(\mathbb{N}, Y)$$

$$\cong \text{nil} + \text{cons}(\mathbb{N}, Y)$$

$$\infty \text{ NatColist} = \nu Y. G(F'(Y), Y) = \nu Y. F'(Y)$$

$$\cong \nu Y. \text{nil} + \text{cons}(\mathbb{N}, Y)$$

$$\text{NatColist} = F'(\infty \text{ NatColist})$$

$$= \text{nil} + \text{cons}(\mathbb{N}, \infty \text{ NatColist})$$

Order between data/codata

data NatColist : Set where

nil : NatColist

cons : $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \infty \text{ NatColist} \rightarrow \text{NatColist}$

coalg $\infty \text{ NatColist}$: Set where

\natural : $\infty \text{ NatColist} \rightarrow \text{NatColist}$

2.

$$G(X, Y) = X$$

$$F(X, Y) = \text{nil} + \text{cons}(\mathbb{N}, Y).$$

$$G'(X) = \nu Y. G(X, Y) = \nu Y. X$$

$$\cong X$$

$$\text{NatColist} = \mu X. F(X, G'(X)) \cong \mu X. F(X, X)$$

$$= \mu X. \text{nil} + \text{cons}(\mathbb{N}, X)$$

$$\infty \text{ NatColist} = G'(\text{NatColist})$$

$$\cong \text{NatColist}$$

Order between data/codata

First solution gives the desired result.

Origin of problem:

- ▶ If we have two functors $F(X, Y)$, and $G(X, Y)$ and if we want to minimize X and maximize Y there are two solutions:
 - ▶ Minimize X as a functor depending on Y .
Then maximize Y .
 - ▶ Maximize Y as a functor depending on X .
Then minimize X .
- ▶ With mutual data types this problem didn't occur since if we minimize both X and Y , the order doesn't matter.

1. Categorical View of Coalgebras
2. Codata
3. Nils Danielsson's ∞
4. Suggested Solution
5. Model

Generality

In general we want to be able to form arbitrary combinations of μ and ν .
Idea: minimize and maximize in the order of occurrence.

data A : Set where
 $\text{intro}_0 : F(A, B, C, D) \rightarrow A$
 codata B : Set where
 $\text{case}_0 : B \rightarrow G(A, B, C, D)$
 data C : Set where
 $\text{intro}_1 : H(A, B, C, D) \rightarrow C$
 codata D : Set where
 $\text{case}_1 : D \rightarrow K(A, B, C, D)$

to be interpreted as:

$F_0(Y, Z, Z')$	$= \mu X. F(X, Y, Z, Z')$	A in terms of Y, Z, Z'
$G_1(Z, Z')$	$= \nu Y. G(F'(Y, Z, Z'), Y, Z, Z')$	B in terms of Z, Z'
$F_1(Z, Z')$	$= F_0(G_1(Z, Z'), Z, Z')$	A in terms of Z, Z'
$H_2(Z')$	$= \mu Z. H(F_1(Z, Z'), G_1(Z, Z'), Z, Z')$	C in terms of Z'
$G_2(Z')$	$= G_1(H_2(Z'), Z')$	B in terms of Z'
$F_2(Z')$	$= F_1(H_2(Z'), Z')$	A in terms of Z'
D	$= \nu Z'. K(F_2(Z'), G_2(Z'), H_2(Z'), Z')$	Final Value of D
C	$= H_2(D)$	Final Value of C
B	$= G_2(D)$	Final Value of B
A	$= F_2(D)$	Final Value of A

Example: NatColist

```
data NatColist : Set where
  nil      : NatColist
  cons    :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \infty \text{ NatColist} \rightarrow \text{NatColist}$ 
```

stands for

```
data NatColist : Set where
  nil      : NatColist
  cons    :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \infty \text{ NatColist} \rightarrow \text{NatColist}$ 
```

```
coalg  $\infty \text{ NatColist} : \text{Set}$  where
   $\natural : \infty \text{ NatColist} \rightarrow \text{NatColist}$ 
```

inclist

$\text{inclist} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \infty \text{NatColist}$
 $\Downarrow (\text{inclist } n) = \text{cons } n (\text{inclist } (n + 1))$
 or
 $\text{inclist } n \sim \Downarrow (\text{cons } n (\text{inclist } (n + 1)))$

With

$$s \triangleright t :\Leftrightarrow \Downarrow s = t$$

we get

$$\text{inclist } n \triangleright \text{cons } n (\text{inclist } (n + 1))$$

1. Categorical View of Coalgebras
2. Codata
3. Nils Danielsson's ∞
4. Suggested Solution
5. Model

Model

Form a term model with reduction rules corresponding to the equalities stated.

E.g. `inclist` is a function symbol with equality rule

$$\text{case (inclist } n) = \text{cons } n \text{ (inclist } (n + 1))$$

Interpretation of $\mu X.F(X)$:

$$\llbracket \mu X.F(X) \rrbracket = \bigcap \{X \subseteq \text{Term} \mid \text{intro}[\llbracket F(X) \rrbracket] \subseteq X\}$$

Interpretation of $\nu X.F(X)$:

$$\llbracket \nu X.F(X) \rrbracket = \bigcup \{X \subseteq \text{Term} \mid \text{case}[X] \subseteq \llbracket F(X) \rrbracket\}$$

Conclusion

- ▶ Design decisions should be done by referring to the notion of coalgebras.
- ▶ Introduction of \sim was a good decision, since it flags which equalities hold.
If one uses ∞ only, one might need \triangleright .
- ▶ If A is a data type referring to ∞A , then ∞A gets its meaning as a coalgebra defined implicitly mutually after the definition of A .
- ▶ Order of algebras coalgebras matters.