Sec. 8: Semi-Computable Predicates

- **●** We study $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$, which are
 - not decidable,
 - but "half decidable".
- Official name is
 - semi-decidable.
 - or semi-computable.
 - or recursively enumerable (r.e.).

26 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

8-1

CS_226 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

Rec.enum. vs. semi-decidable

- Recursively enumerable stands for the definition based on the notion of partial recursive functions.
- Semi-decidable or semi-computable stand for the definition based on an intuitive notion of "(partial) computable function"
- Assuming the Church-Turing thesis, the two notions coincide.

Rec. Sets

Remember:

- A predicate A is recursive, iff χ_A is recursive.
- So we have a "full" decision procedure:

$$P(\vec{x}) \Leftrightarrow \chi_P(\vec{x}) = 1$$
, i.e. answer yes , $\neg P(\vec{x}) \Leftrightarrow \chi_P(\vec{x}) = 0$, i.e. answer no .

Semi-Decidable Sets

 $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ will be semi-decidable, if there exists a partial recursive recursive function f s.t.

$$P(\vec{x}) \Leftrightarrow f(\vec{x}) \downarrow$$
.

- If $P(\vec{x})$ holds, we will eventually know it: the algorithm for computing f will finally terminate, and then we know that $P(\vec{x})$ holds.
- If $P(\vec{x})$ doesn't hold, then the algorithm computing f will loop for ever, and we never get an answer.

Semi-Decidable Sets

So we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(\vec{x}) & \Leftrightarrow & f(\vec{x}) \downarrow \text{ i.e. answer yes } \; , \\ \neg P(\vec{x}) & \Leftrightarrow & f(\vec{x}) \! \uparrow \text{ i.e. no answer} \\ & & \text{returned by } f \; \; . \end{array}$$

26 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

8-5

Applications

- One might think that semi-computable sets don't occur in computing.
- But they occur in many applications.
- Examples are
 - Checking whether a program terminates is semi-decidable.
 - Checking whether a program in C++ is type correct is because of the template mechanism semi-decidable.
 - In C++ compilers this problem is usually prevented by having a flag which limits the number of times templates are unfolded.

Applications

- Examples (Cont.)
 - Type checking in Agda (used in the module interactive theorem proving) is semi-decidable.
 - Does in most applications not cause any problems

Jump over next example

CS_226 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

Applications

- Whether a statement is provable in many logical systems is semi-decidable.
 - But even so this is semi-decidable, many search algorithm succeed in most practical cases.
 - Often one can predict a certain time, after which normally the search algorithm should have returned an answer.
 - If the search algorithm hasn't returned an answer after this time it is likely (but not guaranteed) that the statement is unprovable.

Def. 8.1 (Recursively Enumerable)

- A predicate $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ is <u>recursively enumerable</u>, in short <u>r.e.</u>,
 - if there exists a partial recursive function $f: \mathbb{N}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$A = \mathsf{dom}(f) .$$

- Sometimes recursive predicates are as well called
 - semi-decidable or
 - semi-computable or
 - partially computable.

26 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

8-9

Lemma 8.3

- (a) Every recursive predicate is r.e.
- (b) The halting problem, i.e.

$$\mathsf{Halt}^n(e, \vec{x}) : \Leftrightarrow \{e\}^n(\vec{x}) \downarrow$$
,

is r.e., but not recursive.

The proof of Lemma 8.3 and the statement and proof of Theorem 8.4 will be omitted in this lecture Jump over proof of Lemma 8.3 and Theorem 8.4.

Proof of Lemma 8.3

- (a) Assume $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^k$ is decidable.
 - Then

$$\mathbb{N}^k \setminus A$$

is recursive, therefore its characteristic function

$$\chi_{\mathbb{N}^k \setminus A}$$

is recursive as well.

Define

$$f: \mathbb{N}^k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{N}, f(\vec{x}) :\simeq (\mu y. \chi_{\mathbb{N}^k \setminus A}(\vec{x}) \simeq 0)$$
.

• Note that y doesn't occur in the body of the μ -expression.

CS_226 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

Proof of Lemma 8.3

- Then we have
 - If $A(\vec{x})$, then

$$\chi_{\mathbb{N}^k \setminus A}(\vec{x}) \simeq 0$$
 ,

SO

$$f(\vec{x}) \simeq (\mu y. \chi_{\mathbb{N}^k \setminus A}(\vec{x}) \simeq 0) \simeq 0$$
,

especially

$$f(\vec{x}) \downarrow .$$

Proof of Lemma 8.3

• If $(\mathbb{N}^k \setminus A)(\vec{x})$, then

$$\chi_{\mathbb{N}^k \setminus A}(\vec{x}) \simeq 1$$
 ,

so there exists no y s.t.

$$\chi_{\mathbb{N}^k\setminus A}(\vec{x})\simeq 0$$
 .

therefore

$$f(\vec{x}) \simeq (\mu y. \chi_{\mathbb{N}^k \setminus A}(\vec{x}) \simeq 0) \simeq \bot$$
,

especially

$$f(\vec{x})\uparrow$$
.

26 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

8-13

Proof of Lemma 8.3

So we get

$$A(\vec{x}) \Leftrightarrow f(\vec{x}) \downarrow \Leftrightarrow \vec{x} \in \mathsf{dom}(f)$$
,

$$A = dom(f)$$
 is r.e. .

Proof of Lemma 8.3

(b) • We have

$$\mathsf{Halt}^n(e,\vec{x}) :\Leftrightarrow f_n(e,\vec{x}) \downarrow ,$$

where f_n is partial recursive as in Sect. 5 s.t.

$${e}^n(\vec{x}) \simeq f_n(e, \vec{x})$$
.

So

$$\mathsf{Halt}^n = \mathsf{dom}(f_n) \text{ is r.e. }.$$

We have seen above that Haltⁿ is non-computable, i.e. not recursive.

Jump over Theorem 8.4.

CS_226 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

Theorem 8.4

There exist r.e. predicates

$$\mathsf{W}^n\subseteq\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$$

s.t., with

$$\mathsf{W}_e^n := \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \mathsf{W}^n(e, \vec{x}) \} ,$$

we have the following:

- **●** Each of the predicates $W_e^n \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ is r.e.
- **●** For each r.e. predicate $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ there exists an $e \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $P = \mathbb{W}_e^n$, i.e.

$$\forall \vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}. P(\vec{x}) \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{W}_{e}^{n}(\vec{x})$$
.

Theorem 8.4

Therefore, the r.e. sets $P\subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ are exactly the sets \mathbf{W}_e^n for $e\in \mathbb{N}$.

26 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

8-17

Remark on Theorem 8.4

- W_e^n is therefore a universal recursively enumerable sets, which encodes all other recursively enumerable sets.
- **▶** The theorem means that that we can assign to every recursively enumerable predicate A a natural number, namely the e s.t. $A = W_e^n$.
 - Each code denotes one predicate.
 - However, several numbers denote the same predicate:
 - there are e, e' s.t. $e \neq e'$, but $W_e^n = W_{e'}^n$. (Since there are $e \neq e'$ s.t. $\{e\}^n = \{e'\}^n$).

Proof Idea for Theorem 8.4

$$\mathsf{W}_e^n := \mathsf{dom}(\{e\}^n) .$$

If A is r.e., then A = dom(f) for some partial rec. f. Let $f = \{e\}^n$.

Then $A = W_e^n$.

The details given in the following will be omitted in the lecture. Jump over Details

CS_226 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

Proof of Theorem 8.4

ightharpoonup Let f_n s.t.

$$\forall e, \vec{n} \in \mathbb{N}. f_n(e, \vec{x}) \simeq \{e\}(\vec{x})$$
.

Define

$$\mathsf{W}^n := \mathsf{dom}(f_n)$$
 .

- ullet Wⁿ is r.e.
- We have

$$\begin{split} \vec{x} \in \mathsf{W}_e^n & \Leftrightarrow \ (e, \vec{x}) \in \mathsf{W}^n \\ & \Leftrightarrow \ f_n(e, \vec{x}) \downarrow \\ & \Leftrightarrow \ \{e\}(\vec{x}) \downarrow \\ & \Leftrightarrow \ \vec{x} \in \mathsf{dom}(\{e\}^n) \ . \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\mathsf{W}_e^n = \mathsf{dom}(\{e\}^n) .$$

- Wⁿ is r.e., since f_n is partial recursive.
- **●** Furthermore, we have for any set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$

A is r.e. iff $A=\operatorname{dom}(f)$ for some partial recursive f iff $A=\operatorname{dom}(\{e\}^n)$ for some $e\in\mathbb{N}$

iff $A = W_e^n$ for some $e \in \mathbb{N}$.

This shows the assertion.

26 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

8-21

Theorem 8.5

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$. The following is equivalent:

- (i) *A* is r.e.
- (ii)

$$A = \{\vec{x} \mid \exists y . R(\vec{x}, y)\}\$$

for some primitive recursive predicate R.

(iii)

$$A = \{\vec{x} \mid \exists y. R(\vec{x}, y)\}\$$

for some recursive predicate R.

(iv)

$$A = \{\vec{x} \mid \exists y. R(\vec{x}, y)\}\$$

for some recursively enumerable predicate R.

Theorem 8.5

- (i) *A* is r.e.
- (v) $A = \emptyset$ or

$$A = \{ (f_0(x), \dots, f_{n-1}(x)) \mid x \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

for some primitive recursive functions

$$f_i: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$$
.

(vi) $A = \emptyset$ or

$$A = \{ (f_0(x), \dots, f_{n-1}(x)) \mid x \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

for some recursive functions

$$f_i: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$$
.

CS_226 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

Remark

- We can summarise Theorem 8.5 as follows: There are 3 equivalent ways of defining that $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ is r.e.:
 - A = dom(f) for some partial recursive f;
 - $A = \emptyset$ or A is the image of primitive recursive/recursive functions f_0, \ldots, f_{n-1} ;
 - $A = \{\vec{x} \mid \exists y. R(\vec{x}, y)\}$ for some primitive recursive/recursive/r.e. R.

Remark, Case n=1

- For $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ the following is equivalent:
 - A is r.e.
 - $A=\emptyset$ or $A=\operatorname{ran}(f) \text{ for some primitive recursive } f:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N} \ \ .$
 - $A=\emptyset$ or $A=\operatorname{ran}(f)$ for some recursive $f:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$.
- Therefore $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is r.e., if
 - \bullet $A = \emptyset$
 - or there exists a (prim.-)rec. function f, which enumerates all its elements.
- This explains the name "recursively enumerable predicate".
 Skip Proof.

26 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

8-25

Proof

Skip proof idea.

Proof Idea for Theorem 8.5:

● (i) → (ii):

Assume A is r.e., A = dom(f), for f partial recursive.

$$\begin{array}{lll} A(\vec{x}) & \Leftrightarrow & f(\vec{x}) \downarrow \\ & \Leftrightarrow & \exists y. \text{the TM for computing } f(\vec{x}) \text{ terminates} \\ & & \text{after } y \text{ steps} \\ & \Leftrightarrow & \exists y. R(\vec{x}, y) \end{array}$$

Proof Idea for Theorem 8.5:

- - where

 $R(\vec{x},y)\Leftrightarrow \text{the TM for comp. } f(\vec{x}) \text{ termin. after } y \text{ steps}$ R is primitive recursive.

CS_226 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

Proof Ideas

• (ii) \rightarrow (v), special case n=1:

Assume

- $A = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists y. R(x, y)\}$ where R is prim. rec.
- $A \neq \emptyset$,
- $y \in A$ fixed.

Define $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ recursive,

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \pi_0(x), & \text{if } R(\pi_0(x), \pi_1(x)), \\ y & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then A = ran(f).

Proof Ideas

ullet (v), (vi) o (i), special case n=1: Assume

$$A = \operatorname{ran}(f) \ ,$$

where f is (prim.-)recursive.

Then

$$A = \mathsf{dom}(g)$$
 ,

where

$$g(x) \simeq (\mu y. f(y) = x)$$
.

g is partial recursive.

The full details will be omitted in the lecture. Skip Details

26 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

8-29

Proof of Theorem 8.5

- **●** (i) → (ii):
 - (The actual predicate R we will take will be slightly differently from that in the proof idea – it is technically easier to prove the theorem this way.)
 - If A is r.e., then for some partial recursive function $f: \mathbb{N}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$A = dom(f)$$
.

- Let $f = \{e\}^n$.
- **●** By Kleene's Normal Form Theorem there exist a primitive recursive function $U: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ and a primitive recursive predicate $\mathsf{T}_n \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ s.t.

$${e}^n(\vec{x}) \simeq \mathsf{U}(\mu y.\mathsf{T}_n(e,\vec{x},y))$$
.

Proof of Theorem 8.5

- **●** (i) → (ii) (Cont.)
 - Therefore

$$\begin{array}{cccc} A(\vec{x}) & \Leftrightarrow & \vec{x} \in \mathsf{dom}(f) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \vec{x} \in \mathsf{dom}(\{e\}^n) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \mathsf{U}(\mu y. \mathsf{T}_n(e, \vec{x}, y)) \downarrow \\ \end{array}$$

U prim. rec., therefore total
$$\Leftrightarrow$$
 $\mu y. \mathsf{T}_n(e, \vec{x}, y) \downarrow$ \Leftrightarrow $\exists y. \mathsf{T}_n(e, \vec{x}, y)$ \Leftrightarrow $\exists y. R(\vec{x}, y)$.

where

$$R(\vec{x}, y) \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{T}_n(e, \vec{x}, y)$$
.

CS_226 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

Proof of Theorem 8.5

- **●** (i) → (ii) (Cont.)
 - ullet Now R is primitive recursive, and

$$A = \{\vec{x} \mid \exists y. R(\vec{x}, y)\} .$$

- (ii) \rightarrow (iii): Trivial.
- (iii) \rightarrow (iv): By Lemma 8.3.

26 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

Proof of Theorem 8.5

- **●** (iv) → (ii):
 - Assume

$$A = \{\vec{x} \mid \exists y . R(\vec{x}, y)\},$$

where R is r.e.

• By "(i) \rightarrow (ii)" there exists a primitive recursive predicate S s.t.

$$R(\vec{x}, y) \Leftrightarrow \exists z. S(\vec{x}, y, z)$$
.

Therefore

$$A = \{\vec{x} \mid \exists y. \exists z. S(\vec{x}, y, z)\}$$

= $\{\vec{x} \mid \exists y. S(\vec{x}, \pi_0(y), \pi_1(y))\}$
= $\{\vec{x} \mid \exists y. R'(\vec{x}, y)\} ,$

Proof of Theorem 8.5

- **●** ((iv) → (ii), Cont.)
 - Here

 $R'(\vec{x},y) :\Leftrightarrow S(\vec{x},\pi_0(y),\pi_1(y))$ is primitive recursive.

CS_226 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

Proof of Theorem 8.5

- **●** (ii) → (v):
 - Assume A is not empty and R is primitive recursive s.t.

$$A = \{\vec{x} \mid \exists y. R(\vec{x}, y)\} .$$

- Let $\vec{z}=z_0,\ldots,z_{n-1}$ be some fixed elements s.t. $A(\vec{z})$ holds.
- Define for $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$

$$\begin{cases} f_i(x) := \\ \begin{cases} \pi_i^{n+1}(x), & \text{if } R(\pi_0^{n+1}(x), \pi_1^{n+1}(x), \dots, \pi_{n-1}^{n+1}(x), \pi_n^{n+1}(x), \\ z_i, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

• f_i are primitive recursive.

8-33

ullet ((ii) \rightarrow (v), Cont.)

We show

$$A = \{ (f_0(x), \dots, f_{n-1}(x)) \mid x \in \mathbb{N} \} .$$

26 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect

8-37

Proof of Theorem 8.5

 \bullet ((ii) \rightarrow (v), Cont.)

. "⊇":

Assume $x \in \mathbb{N}$, and show

$$A(f_0(x),\ldots,f_{n-1}(x)) .$$

• If $R(\pi_0^{n+1}(x), \pi_1^{n+1}(x), \dots, \pi_{n-1}^{n+1}(x), \pi_n^{n+1}(x))$, then

$$\exists z. R(\pi_0^{n+1}(x), \pi_1^{n+1}(x), \dots, \pi_{n-1}^{n+1}(x), z)$$
,

therefore

$$(\pi_0^{n+1}(x), \pi_1^{n+1}(x), \dots, \pi_{n-1}^{n+1}(x)) \in A$$
,

therefore

$$A(f_0(x), \ldots, f_{n-1}(x))$$
.

Proof of Theorem 8.5

• ("⊇", Cont.):

• If $(\mathbb{N}^k \setminus R)(\pi_0^{n+1}(x), \pi_1^{n+1}(x), \dots, \pi_{n-1}^{n+1}(x), \pi_n^{n+1}(x))$, then

$$f_i(x) = z_i \ ,$$

therefore by $A(\vec{z})$

$$A(f_0(x), \ldots, f_{n-1}(x))$$
.

So in both cases we get that

$$A(f_0(x),\ldots,f_{n-1}(x)) ,$$

SO

$$\{(f_0(x),\ldots,f_{n-1}(x))\mid x\in\mathbb{N}\}\subseteq A$$
.

CS_226 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

Proof of Theorem 8.5

. "⊆":

Assume

$$A(x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1})$$
,

and show

$$\exists z. (f_0(z) = x_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n-1}(z) = x_{n-1}) .$$

We have for some y

$$R(x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1},y)$$
.

Let

$$z = \pi^{n+1}(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y)$$
.

- **●** ((ii) → (v), Cont.); ("⊆", Cont)
 - Then we have

$$x_i = \pi_i^{n+1}(z)$$
 , $y = \pi_n^{n+1}(z)$,

therefore

$$R(\pi_0^{n+1}(z), \pi_1^{n+1}(z), \dots, \pi_{n-1}^{n+1}(z), \pi_n^{n+1}(z))$$
,

therefore for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$

$$f_i(z) = \pi_i^{n+1}(z) = x_i$$
,

26 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect.

8-41

Proof of Theorem 8.5

- **●** ((ii) → (v), Cont.); ("⊆", Cont)
 - therefore

$$(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) = (f_0(z), \dots, f_{n-1}(z))$$

 $\in \{(f_0(x), \dots, f_{n-1}(x)) \mid x \in \mathbb{N}\},$

and we have

$$A \subseteq \{(f_0(x), \dots, f_{n-1}(x)) \mid x \in \mathbb{N}\} .$$

Therefore we have shown

$$A = \{(f_0(x), \dots, f_{n-1}(x)) \mid x \in \mathbb{N}\}\$$
,

and the assertion follows.

Proof of Theorem 8.5

- \bullet (v) \rightarrow (vi): Trivial.
- ullet (vi) \rightarrow (i):
 - If A is empty, then A is recursive, therefore r.e.
 - Assume

$$A = \{(f_0(x), \dots, f_{n-1}(x)) \mid x \in \mathbb{N}\}$$
.

for some recursive functions f_i .

Define

$$f: \mathbb{N}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{N}$$
,

s.t.

$$f(x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1}) :\simeq \mu x.(f_0(x)) \simeq x_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n-1}(x) \simeq x_{n-1}$$

CS_226 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

Proof of Theorem 8.5

- - f can be written as

therefore f is partial recursive.

- - Furthermore, we have

$$A(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \Leftrightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{N}. x_0 = f_0(x) \land \dots \land x_{n-1} = f_{n-1}(x)$$

 $\Leftrightarrow f(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \downarrow ,$

therefore

$$A = dom(f)$$
 is r.e. .

26 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

8-45

Theorem 8.6

 $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^k$ is recursive iff both A and $\mathbb{N}^k \setminus A$ are r.e.

Proof idea:

" \Rightarrow " is easy.

$$A(\vec{x}) \Leftrightarrow \exists y. R(\vec{x}, y)$$
$$(\mathbb{N}^k \setminus A)(\vec{x}) \Leftrightarrow \exists y. S(\vec{x}, y)$$

In order to decide A, search simultaneously for a y s.t. $R(\vec{x},y)$ and for a y s.t. $S(\vec{x},y)$ holds.

If we find a y s.t. $R(\vec{x}, y)$ holds, then $A(\vec{x})$ holds.

If we find a y s.t. $S(\vec{x}, y)$ holds, then $\neg A(\vec{x})$ holds

The details of the proof will be omitted in this lecture.

Jump over details

Proof of Theorem 8.6, "⇒"

• If A is recursive, then both A and $\mathbb{N}^k \setminus A$ are recursive, therefore as well r.e.

CS_226 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

Proof of Theorem 8.6, " ←"

- **●** Assume A, $\mathbb{N}^k \setminus A$ are r.e.
- Then there exist primitive recursive predicates R and S s.t.

$$A = \{\vec{x} \mid \exists y.R(\vec{x}, y)\},$$

$$\mathbb{N}^k \setminus A = \{\vec{x} \mid \exists y.S(\vec{x}, y)\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 8.6, "←"

$$A = \{\vec{x} \mid \exists y. R(\vec{x}, y)\} ,$$

$$\mathbb{N}^k \setminus A = \{\vec{x} \mid \exists y. S(\vec{x}, y)\} .$$

By

$$A \cup (\mathbb{N}^k \setminus A) = \mathbb{N}^k ,$$

it follows

$$\forall \vec{x}.((\exists y.R(\vec{x},y)) \lor (\exists y.S(\vec{x},y)))$$
,

therefore as well

$$\forall \vec{x}. \exists y. (R(\vec{x}, y) \lor S(\vec{x}, y)) . \tag{*}$$

26 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

8-49

Proof of Theorem 8.6, "←"

$$A = \{\vec{x} \mid \exists y.R(\vec{x}, y)\} ,$$

$$\mathbb{N}^k \setminus A = \{\vec{x} \mid \exists y.S(\vec{x}, y)\} ,$$

$$\forall \vec{x}.\exists y.(R(\vec{x}, y) \lor S(\vec{x}, y)) . \quad (*)$$

Define

$$h: \mathbb{N}^n \to \mathbb{N}$$
, $h(\vec{x}) := \mu y.(R(\vec{x}, y) \vee S(\vec{x}, y))$.

- h is partial recursive.
- By (*) we have h is total, so h is recursive.
- We show

$$A(\vec{x}) \Leftrightarrow R(\vec{x}, h(\vec{x}))$$
.

Proof of Theorem 8.6, "←"

$$\begin{split} A &= \{ \vec{x} \mid \exists y. R(\vec{x}, y) \} \;\;, \, \mathbb{N}^k \setminus A = \{ \vec{x} \mid \exists y. S(\vec{x}, y) \} \;\;, \\ h(\vec{x}) &:= \mu y. (R(\vec{x}, y) \vee S(\vec{x}, y)) \;\;, \\ \mathbf{Show} \; A(\vec{x}) &\Leftrightarrow R(\vec{x}, h(\vec{x})) \;\;. \end{split}$$

• If $A(\vec{x})$ then

$$\exists y.R(\vec{x},y)$$

and

$$\vec{x} \not\in (\mathbb{N}^k \setminus A)$$
,

therefore

$$\neg \exists y. S(\vec{x}, y) \ .$$

Therefore we have for the y found by $h(\vec{x})$ that $R(\vec{x}, y)$ holds, i.e.

$$R(\vec{x}, h(\vec{x}))$$
.

CS_226 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

Proof of Theorem 8.6, " ←"

$$\begin{split} A &= \{\vec{x} \mid \exists y. R(\vec{x}, y)\} \;\;, \\ \mathbb{N}^k \setminus A &= \{\vec{x} \mid \exists y. S(\vec{x}, y)\} \;\;, \\ h(\vec{x}) &:= \mu y. (R(\vec{x}, y) \vee S(\vec{x}, y)) \;\;, \\ \mathbf{Show} \; A(\vec{x}) &\Leftrightarrow R(\vec{x}, h(\vec{x})) \;\;. \end{split}$$

• On the other hand, if $R(\vec{x}, h(\vec{x}))$ holds then

$$\exists y.R(\vec{x},y)$$
 ,

therefore

$$A(\vec{x})$$
.

Therefore

$$A = \{\vec{x} \mid R(\vec{x}, h(\vec{x}))\}$$
 is recursive.

Theorem 8.7

Let $f: \mathbb{N}^n \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \mathbb{N}$.

Then

f is partial recursive $\Leftrightarrow G_f$ is r.e. .

Proof idea for "⇐":

Assume R primitive recursive s.t.

$$\mathsf{G}_f(\vec{x},y) \Leftrightarrow \exists z.R(\vec{x},y,z)$$
.

In order to compute $f(\vec{x})$, search for a y s.t. $R(\vec{x}, \pi_0(y), \pi_1(y))$ holds.

 $f(\vec{x})$ will be the first projection of this y.

The details of the proof will be omitted in this lecture.

Jump over details

26 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

8-53

Proof of Theorem 8.7, "⇒"

- Assume f is partial recursive.
- Then $f = \{e\}^n$ for some $e \in \mathbb{N}$.
- By Kleene's Normal Form Theorem we have

$$f(\vec{x}) \simeq \mathsf{U}(\mu y.\mathsf{T}_n(\vec{x},y))$$
,

for some primitive recursive relation

$$\mathsf{T}_n \subset \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$$

and some primitive recursive function

$$U:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$$
 .

Proof of Theorem 8.7, "⇒"

$$f(\vec{x}) \simeq \mathsf{U}(\mu y.\mathsf{T}_n(\vec{x},y))$$
.

Therefore

$$(\vec{x}, y) \in \mathsf{G}_f \Leftrightarrow (f(\vec{x}) \simeq y)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists z. (\mathsf{T}_n(\vec{x}, z) \land (\forall z' < z. \neg \mathsf{T}_n(\vec{x}, z'))$$

$$\land \mathsf{U}(z) = y) ,$$

• Therefore G_f is r.e.

CS_226 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

Proof of Theorem 8.7, "←"

• If G_f is r.e., then there exists a primitive recursive predicate R s.t.

$$f(\vec{x}) \simeq y \Leftrightarrow (\vec{x}, y) \in \mathsf{G}_f \Leftrightarrow \exists z. R(\vec{x}, y, z)$$
.

● Therefore for any z s.t. $R(\vec{x}, \pi_0(z), \pi_1(z))$ holds we have that

$$f(\vec{x}) \simeq \pi_0(z)$$
.

Therefore

$$f(\vec{x}) \simeq \pi_0(\mu u.R(\vec{x}, \pi_0(u), \pi_1(u)))$$
,

f is partial recursive.

Lemma 8.8

The recursively enumerable sets are closed under:

- (a) Union (and therefore \vee): If $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ are r.e., so is $A \cup B$.
- (b) Intersection (and therefore \land): If $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ are r.e., so is $A \cap B$.
- (c) Substitution by recursive functions: If $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ is r.e., $f_i : \mathbb{N}^k \to \mathbb{N}$ are recursive for $i = 0, \dots, n$, so is

$$C := \{ \vec{y} \in \mathbb{N}^k \mid A(f_0(\vec{y}), \dots, f_{n-1}(\vec{y})) \}$$
.

26 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

8-57

Lemma 8.8

• (d) (Unbounded) existential quantification: If $D \subset \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ is r.e., so is

$$E := \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \exists y. D(\vec{x}, y) \} .$$

• (e) Bounded universal quantification: If $D \subset \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ is r.e., so is

$$F := \{ (\vec{x}, z) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \mid \forall y < z.D(\vec{x}, z) \}$$
.

The details of the proof will be omitted in this lecture.

Proof of Lemma 8.8

- Let $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ be r.e.
- Then there exist primitive recursive relations R, S s.t.

$$A = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \exists y. R(\vec{x}, y)\},$$

$$B = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \exists y. S(\vec{x}, y)\}.$$

CS_226 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8

Proof of Lemma 8.8 (a), (b)

$$A = \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \exists y. R(\vec{x}, y) \} ,$$

$$B = \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \exists y. S(\vec{x}, y) \} .$$

One can easily see that

$$A \cup B = \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \exists y . (R(\vec{x}, y) \vee S(\vec{x}, y)) \} ,$$

$$A \cap B = \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \exists y . (R(\vec{x}, \pi_0(y))) \} .$$

$$\wedge S(\vec{x}, \pi_1(y)) \} .$$

therefore $A \cup B$ and $A \cap B$ are r.e.

Jump over details

Proof of Lemma 8.8 (c)

$$A = \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \exists y. R(\vec{x}, y) \} ,$$

$$B = \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \exists y. S(\vec{x}, y) \} .$$

- **●** Assume $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ is r.e., $f_i : \mathbb{N}^k \to \mathbb{N}$ are recursive for $i = 0, \dots, n$.
- Need to show that

$$C := \{ (\vec{y} \in \mathbb{N}^k \mid A(f_0(\vec{y}), \dots, f_{n-1}(\vec{y})) \}$$
.

is r.e.

Follows by

$$C = \{\vec{y} \mid A(f_0(\vec{y}), \dots, f_{n-1}(\vec{y}))\}\$$

= $\{\vec{y} \mid \exists z. R(f_0(\vec{y}), \dots, f_{n-1}(\vec{y}), z)\}$ is r.e.

26 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect.

8-61

Proof of Lemma 8.8 (d), (e)

- (d) follows from Theorem 8.5.
- **•** (e):
 - Assume T is a primitive recursive predicate s.t.

$$D = \{ (\vec{x}, y) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \mid \exists z. T(\vec{x}, y, z) \} .$$

Then we get

$$F = \{(\vec{x}, y) \mid \forall y' < y.D(\vec{x}, y')\}$$

$$= \{(\vec{x}, y) \mid \forall y' < y.\exists z.T(\vec{x}, y', z)\}$$

$$= \{(\vec{x}, y) \mid \exists z.\forall y' < y.T(\vec{x}, y', (z)_{y'})\} \text{ is r.e.,}$$

where in the last line we used that

 $\{(\vec{x},z) \mid \forall y' < y.T(\vec{x},y',(z)_{y'})\}$ is primitive recursive .

Lemma 8.9

The r.e. predicates are **not** closed under **complement**:

There exists an r.e. predicate $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ s.t. $\mathbb{N}^n \setminus A$ is not r.e.

Proof:

- Haltⁿ is r.e.
- $ightharpoonup \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \mathsf{Halt}^n$ is not r.e.
 - Otherwise by Theorem 8.6 Haltⁿ would be recursive.
 - But by Lemma 8.3. (b) Haltⁿ is not recursive.

CS_226 Computability Theory, Michaelmas Term 2008, Sect. 8